Analysis from the Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System Results from Public Health District Sponsored Questions 2000-2007

December 2008



Acknowledgments

This project was funded in part by and in partnership with Idaho's seven Public Health Districts.

Additional funds were provided by a grant from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, number U58/CCU022801 and administered via a cooperative agreement with the Idaho Bureau of Vital Records and Health Statistics. The contents are solely the responsibility of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official views of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

The Idaho Department of Health and Welfare would like to thank the citizens of Idaho who have participated in the Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System.

For more information on this project or any of the survey results, please contact the Bureau of Vital Records and Health Statistics at (208) 332-7326.


Suggested Citation

Analysis from the Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System: Results from Public Health District Sponsored Questions, 2000 – 2007. Boise: Idaho Department of Health and Welfare, Division of Health, Bureau of Vital Records and Health Statistics, 2008.

Table of Contents

Title Page	i
Acknowledgments	ii
Table of Contents	iii
Map of Idaho Public Health Districts	iv
Introduction	1
Methodology	1
Data Reporting	2
Data Limitations	2
Sample Size	3
Demographics	4
Results from Public Health District Sponsored Questions	5
Source of Water Supply	6
Trend Graphs: Water Supply	7
Type of Drinking Water	8
Trend Graphs: Water Type	9
Sex Education, Part I	10
Trend Graphs: Sex Education, Part I	11
Sex Education, Part II	12
Trend Graphs: Sex Education, Part II	13
Child Safety Seat and Seat Belt Use	14
Trend Graphs: Child Safety Seat and Seat Belt Use	15
Understanding the Data Tables	17
Table 1: Source of Water Supply	18
Table 2: Type of Drinking Water	20
Table 3: Sex Education, Part I	22
Table 3: Sex Education, Part II	24
Table 4: Child Safety Seat and Seat Belt Use	26
Appendix B: Trend Tables	28
Appendix C: Public Health District Sponsored Questions, 2007	30

Map of Idaho Public Health Districts



Panhandle Health District	North Central District Health Department	Southwest District Health	Central District Health Department	South Central District Health	Southeastern District Health Department	Eastern Idaho Public Health District
District 1	District 2	District 3	District 4	District 5	District 6	District 7
Benewah	Clearwater	Adams	Ada	Blaine	Bannock	Bonneville
Bonner	Idaho	Canyon	Boise	Camas	Bear Lake	Clark
Boundary	Latah	Gem	Elmore	Cassia	Bingham	Custer
Kootenai	Lewis	Owyhee	Valley	Gooding	Butte	Fremont
Shoshone	Nez Perce	Payette		Jerome	Caribou	Jefferson
		Washington		Lincoln	Franklin	Lemhi
				Minidoka	Oneida	Madison
				Twin Falls	Power	Teton

Introduction

The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS), an ongoing surveillance program developed and partially funded by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, is designed to estimate the prevalence of risk factors for the major causes of death and disability in the United States. Idaho began participating in the BRFSS in 1984. Since then, the program has grown to encompass 50 states and several U.S. territories. Idaho's sample has grown significantly since its first year of participation. In 1984, the sample size was 612, and it has grown to 5,315 interviews completed in 2007¹.

Beginning in 1997, Idaho's seven public health districts entered into a partnership with the Department of Health and Welfare to develop health district level estimates from the BRFSS. With the districts' participation, the Department was able to increase the sample size and produce district-level health behavior estimates. In addition, the Department provided the health districts with the opportunity to add five questions to the BRFSS that address their specific data needs. This report summarizes the 2007 BRFSS results for the five district-sponsored questions and examines trends since 2000 for the years data are available.

Methodology

The BRFSS has been conducted as a random telephone survey of the non-institutionalized adult population since 1984. The 2007 survey was administered in every month of the calendar year. After annual data collection was completed, individual responses were weighted to be representative of the state's adult population, and analysis was performed on the weighted data.

Additional information regarding BRFSS methodology is available online at http://www.cdc.gov/brfss.

Sampling: Idaho used disproportionate stratified sampling for the 2007 BRFSS. The sample was stratified by Idaho's seven public health districts. Approximately 700 Idahoans were interviewed in each health district, resulting in a total sample size of 5,315. Interviews were conducted by telephone with interviewers using computer-assisted telephone interviewing (CATI) software to record responses.

Weighting: The data were weighted to the respondent's probability of selection as well for age and sex differences between the sample and population estimates. For example, some households have more than one telephone line and are more likely to be called. The weighting corrects for these differences in probability of selection.

Analysis: Idaho used SAS® software to manipulate data and create risk factors. SUDAAN® software was used to generate prevalence estimates, calculate the 95% confidence intervals used in the tables, and for statistical testing. This software takes into account the complex sampling design of the BRFSS. All "don't know," "not sure," and "refused" responses were excluded from analyses.

¹ Idaho Behavioral Risk Factors: Results from the 2007 Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System. Boise: Idaho Department of Health and Welfare, Division of Health, Bureau of Vital Records and Health Statistics. 2008.

Data Reporting

Prevalence estimates are presented as the weighted percent of responses to a specific question. Prevalence estimates based on denominators with fewer than 50 respondents have been suppressed and are indicated in the data tables with an asterisk (*). The BRFSS has adopted this standard to maintain a high degree of reliability. Differences between estimates are reported throughout the document. Estimates with non-overlapping 95 percent confidence intervals were deemed statistically significant. Any difference determined to be statistically significant is preceded by the words "significantly" or "statistically."

Data Limitations

Errors in estimation can result from BRFSS data being self-reported and certain behaviors possibly being underreported². Another source of error is based on sampling. Each sample drawn will deviate somewhat from the population. Additional errors may occur based on the population from which the sample is drawn.

Ideally, all adults aged 18 and older would be potential respondents for the survey. In order to be cost effective, however, the sample is limited to adults aged 18 and older who are non-institutionalized, live in a household with a non-cellular telephone, and can communicate in either English or Spanish. This excludes people in prisons and dormitories, those who exclusively speak a language other than English or Spanish, those with only cellular telephones, and others who cannot communicate by telephone. Spanish interviewing for the Idaho BRFSS began in mid-year 2004 with 2005 as the first complete year that included Spanish interviews.

Telephone coverage varies by subpopulation. United States Census Bureau data indicate minorities and the poor are less likely to have a telephone in the home than are non-minorities and the affluent³. An estimated 95.5 percent of occupied households in Idaho had telephone service in 2006, the most recent year for which data are available⁴.

⁻

² Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. BRFSS 2007 Survey Data Information: Comparability of Data. US Department of Health and Human Services. Available from URL: http://www.cdc.gov/brfss/technical_infodata/surveydata/2007.htm (accessed 2 October 2008)

October 2008).

³ Bureau of the Census. Phoneless in America [Statistical brief]. Washington, DC: US Department of Commerce, Economics and Statistics Administration, Bureau of the Census, 1994. Publication no. SB/94-16. Available from URL:

http://www.census.gov/apsd/www/statbrief/sb94 16.pdf (accessed 24 September 2008).

http://www.census.gov/apsd/www/statbrief/sb94_16.pdf (accessed 24 September 2008).

Federal Communications Commission, Wireline Competition Bureau, Industry Analysis and Technology Division. 2008. Statistics of Communications Common Carriers 2005/2006. Available from URL: http://www.fcc.gov/wcb/iatd/socc.html (accessed 18 September 2008).

Sample Size

In 2007, Idaho completed 5,315 BRFSS interviews. Approximately 750 interviews were completed in each of the seven public health districts. The final sample size and its distribution among health districts are shown in the following table.

2007 Sample Size

				Public	Health D	istrict							
	Ctotowide	District	strict District District District District District										
	Statewide	1	2	3	4	5	6	1					
TOTAL	5,315	770	779	749	752	757	756	752					

The table on the following page contains weighted demographic information from the 2007 BRFSS (weighting explanation can be found in Methodology section on page 1). The demographics table describes the eligible survey population by public health district and selected demographic categories.

Statewide, the 35 to 54 age category represented the largest percentage of adults. More than 60 percent of Idaho adults were employed for wages, and more than two in five had an annual household income of \$50,000 or greater. Adults without a high school education made up 9.6 percent of the Idaho adult population, and 27.9 percent had a four-year college degree or greater.

Demographics

	2007	Weighte	ed Sam	ple Der	nograp	hics		
					c Health Di			
	Statewide	District 1	District 2	District 3	District 4		District 6	District 7
TOTAL	100.0	14.8	7.4	15.6	27.8	11.7	10.7	12.0
SEX								
Male	49.8	49.2	50.6	49.5	50.6	49.9	49.1	48.9
Female	50.2	50.8	49.4	50.5	49.4	50.2	50.9	51.1
AGE								
18-24	13.5	12.0	18.6	14.1	8.3	13.7	16.8	20.1
25-34	19.8		15.2	20.9	25.2	16.8	18.3	18.0
35-44	18.1	17.1	14.7	18.6	20.9	17.5	16.5	16.3
45-54	18.3	20.1	17.6	17.1	18.4	18.9	18.2	17.7
55-64	14.4	16.7	14.8	13.3	13.9	14.9	14.2	13.6
65+	15.9	18.4	19.2	16.2	13.3	18.2	16.0	14.3
18-34	33.3	27.7	33.8	34.9	33.6	30.5	35.1	38.2
35-54	36.4	37.2	32.3	35.6	39.2	36.4	34.6	33.9
55+	30.4	35.2	34.0	29.4	27.2	33.2	30.3	27.9
SEX and AGE								
Male								
18-34	34.4	28.6	35.6	36.0		32.1	35.8	
35-54	36.9	37.2		36.5	39.7	36.9		
55+	28.8	34.2	32.2	27.6	25.3	31.0	29.2	27.1
Female								
18-34	32.2	26.7	31.9	33.9	32.0	28.8	34.5	38.4
35-54	35.9	37.2	32.4	34.8	38.8	35.8	34.3	33.0
55+	31.9	36.1	35.8	31.3	29.2	35.4	31.3	28.7
INCOME								
Less than \$15,000	8.5	8.5	14.3	7.9	7.4	9.8	8.9	6.8
\$15,000 - \$24,999	16.9	18.3	20.8	18.7	11.5	22.4	19.2	16.2
\$25,000 - \$34,999	14.2	13.9	15.2	14.8	13.7	16.1	13.3	13.6
\$35,000 - \$49,999	18.9	19.5	16.9	21.1	15.7	23.1	19.7	19.7
\$50,000 - \$74,999	19.1	20.9	15.6	18.2	20.2	14.5		21.2
\$75,000+	22.4	19.0	17.2	19.3	31.7	14.1	19.0	22.5
EMPLOYMENT								
Employed	62.3	63.0	56.7	62.3	65.8	62.2	60.5	
Unemployed	3.4	4.4		3.8		4.9	3.9	
Other**	34.3	32.7	38.4	34.0	32.4	32.9	35.6	39.0
EDUCATION								
K-11th Grade	9.6	7.5	13.1	12.6		13.2		
12th Grade or GED	31.5		33.5	37.7		35.4		
Some College	31.1	36.1	26.9	29.8				
College Graduate+	27.9	21.6	26.5	19.9	39.0	21.0	22.0	32.9

Results from Public Health District Sponsored Questions

Source of Water Supply

Question: What is the main source of your home water supply?

In 2007, 27.7 percent of Idaho adults lived in households in which the water was supplied by a well. Districts 3, 5, and 7 had significantly higher rates of well-supplied water than the statewide level, and District 4 had a significantly lower rate. When districts were compared in the absence of District 4, however, only District 3 had a significantly higher rate of well-supplied water (40.1 percent, CI⁵=35.3-45.1) than did the rest of the state (33.1 percent, CI=31.2-35.0).

Neither employment status nor income level were associated with having a well as the main source of water. Age, education, and Hispanic ethnicity, however, were associated, either positively or negatively, with having well-supplied water.


Middle-aged Idahoans were significantly more likely than those younger or older to have their water supplied by a well. Almost one-third of those aged 45 to 64 (32.4 percent, Cl=30.0-34.9) reported using well-supplied water compared to about one-fourth (25.4 percent, Cl= 23.4-25.6) of those less than 45 or those 65 and older.

Those without a college degree were significantly more likely to report having their water supplied by a well than were those who completed college (29.9 percent, CI=27.9-32.0, and 22.2 percent, CI=19.8-24.7, respectively).

Hispanics were significantly less likely to report having well-supplied water than were non-Hispanics (19.3 percent, Cl=14.3-25.5, and 28.3 percent, Cl=26.7-30.0, respectively).

The statewide prevalence of well water as the main source of water supply has remained consistent from 2000 to 2007. No district changed significantly between 2006 and 2007 in the prevalence of well-supplied water.

Percent of Idaho adults with well water by public health district, 2007




⁵ 95% Confidence Interval (noted when not expressed in data tables)


-

Trend Graphs: Water Supply


Percent of Idaho adults with well water, 2000 - 2007




Percent of District 1 adults with well water, 2000 - 2007




Percent of District 2 adults with well water, 2000 - 2007




Percent of District 3 adults with well water, 2000 - 2007




Percent of District 4 adults with well water, 2000 - 2007




Percent of District 5 adults with well water, 2000 - 2007



Percent of District 6 adults with well water, 2000 - 2007



Percent of District 7 adults with well water, 2000 - 2007



Type of Drinking Water

Question: Which of the following best describes the water that you drink at home most often? Unfiltered tap water; filtered tap water; bottled or vended water; or water from another source.


Statewide, 44.8 percent of adults reported they drank unfiltered tap water at home in 2007. District 7 had the highest proportion of adults who drank unfiltered tap water (61.0 percent) followed by District 1 (51.6 percent). Both districts had rates significantly above the statewide level. Two districts reported rates significantly lower than the statewide level for drinking unfiltered tap water, District 4 (35.6 percent) and District 3 (38.0 percent).

Idahoans aged 35 and older were significantly more likely to drink unfiltered tap water than those 18 to 34 (48.0 percent, Cl=46.1-49.9 and 38.4 percent, Cl=34.2-42.6, respectively). Drinking unfiltered tap water at home was not associated with income, employment status, or education.


Hispanics were significantly less likely to report drinking unfiltered tap water than non-Hispanics (29.9 percent, Cl=23.9-36.7 and 45.9 percent, Cl=43.9-47.8, respectively)

There was no significant change in any district in the percentage of those who drank unfiltered tap water between 2005 and 2007.

Percent of Idaho adults who drink unfiltered tap water at home by public health district, 2007



Trend Graphs: Water Type



Sex Education, Part I

Question: At what grade level do you think children should begin to receive comprehensive sex education in school? Comprehensive sex education includes not only discussions about reproductive systems and associated biological functions, but also sexual behavior; outcomes of sexual behavior including STD/AIDS education and prevention; talking with parents about sex; birth control methods, availability, and usage; and may include discussion on personal sexuality choices.


In 2007, 6.6 percent of Idaho adults reported they thought there should be no comprehensive sex education in school, the same percentage as in 2006. District 7 had the highest percentage (9.6 percent) of adults stating there should be no sex education in schools followed by District 3 (9.0 percent). District 4 had the lowest percentage at 4.4 percent and was significantly different only from District 7. No district was statistically different from the state as a whole.

Adults aged 55 and older were nearly twice as likely as younger adults to think children should not receive comprehensive sex education in school (10.0 percent, Cl=8.6-11.7 and 5.2 percent, Cl=4.1-6.5, respectively). Unemployed adults, along with students, homemakers, retirees, and those unable to work, were significantly more likely to oppose comprehensive sex education in schools than were employed adults (9.2 percent, Cl=7.7-11.1 and 5.1 percent, Cl=4.0-6.4, respectively).


Non-Hispanics were more likely to disagree with providing comprehensive sex education in schools than were Hispanics to (6.7 percent, Cl=6.0-8.1 and 2.0 percent, Cl=0.9-4.4, respectively). Income and education were not associated with whether Idaho adults thought there should be comprehensive sex education in schools.


No district changed significantly since 2005 in the percentage of adults who think there should be no comprehensive sex education in schools

Percent of Idaho adults recommending no sex education by public health district, 2007




Trend Graphs: Sex Education, Part I







Percent of District 2 adults who think there should be no comprehensive sex education in schools, 2005 - 2007




Percent of District 4 adults who think there should be no comprehensive sex education in schools, 2005 - 2007




Percent of District 6 adults who think there should be no comprehensive sex education in schools, 2005 - 2007




Percent of District 1 adults who think there should be no comprehensive sex education in schools, 2005 - 2007




Percent of District 3 adults who think there should be no comprehensive sex education in schools, 2005 - 2007



Percent of District 5 adults who think there should be no comprehensive sex education in schools, 2005 - 2007



Percent of District 7 adults who think there should be no comprehensive sex education in schools, 2005 - 2007



Sex Education, Part II

Question: At what grade level do you think children should begin to receive comprehensive sex education in school? Comprehensive sex education includes not only discussions about reproductive systems and associated biological functions, but also sexual behavior; outcomes of sexual behavior including STD/AIDS education and prevention; talking with parents about sex; birth control methods, availability, and usage; and may include discussion on personal sexuality choices.


Among Idaho adults who thought comprehensive sex education should begin in school, 39.2 percent recommended beginning in the seventh grade or later. All districts had overall percentages similar to the statewide figure. Among districts, significantly more adults in Districts 6 and 3 thought sex education should begin in seventh grade or later than in District 4 (45.8 percent, 45.7 percent, and 35.4 percent, respectively).

Men were significantly more likely than women to think sex education should begin in the seventh grade or later (45.0 percent compared with 33.3 percent, respectively). Among women, those aged 18 to 34 were significantly more likely than those aged 55 and older to think sex education should begin in the seventh grade or later (36.2 percent compared with 27.7 percent, respectively).


Younger adults aged 18 to 24 of both sexes were significantly more likely than older adults to think comprehensive sex education should begin in seventh grade or later (44.7 percent, CI=41.7-48.4 and 38.4 percent, CI=36.4-40.4, respectively). Income, employment, education, and Hispanic ethnicity were not associated with Idahoans recommending sex education starting in seventh grade or later.


District 3 was the only district with a significant change since 2005, showing an increase to 45.7 percent in 2007 from 35.6 percent in 2006.

Percent of Idaho adults who think comprehensive sex education should begin in school and suggest it begin in seventh grade or later by public health district, 2007




Trend Graphs: Sex Education, Part II







Percent of District 1 adults who think comprehensive sex education should begin in seventh grade or later, 2005 - 2007




Percent of District 2 adults who think comprehensive sex education should begin in seventh grade or later, 2005 - 2007




Percent of District 3 adults who think comprehensive sex eduction should begin in




Percent of District 4 adults who think comprehensive sex education shoule begin in seventh grade or later, 2005 - 2007




Percent of District 5 adults who think comprehensive sex education should begin in seventh grade or later, 2005 - 2007



Percent of District 6 adults who think comprehensive sex education should begin in seventh grade or later, 2005 - 2007



Percent of District 7 adults who think comprehensive sex education should begin in seventh grade or later, 2005 - 2007



Child Safety Seat and Seat Belt Use

Question: (Asked of those with any children in the household aged 15 and younger): How often does the [child age]-month/year old child in your household use a [if child age < 5 then "car safety seat", if child age 5 – 15 then "seat belt"] when they ride in a car?


Significantly fewer Idaho children were at risk in 2007 than in 2006 by not always using a safety seat or seat belt when riding in a car (8.2 percent and 12.6 percent, respectively). District 4 had a significantly lower percentage (2.5 percent) of children who did not always use a safety seat or seat belt than Idaho as a whole, and District 6 had a significantly higher percentage (16.0 percent).

Older children were significantly more likely to not always use a safety seat or seat belt. Compared with children less than two years old, children aged two to seven were more than seven times more likely to not always use a safety seat or seat belt (0.7 percent and 5.2 percent, respectively). Children aged five or older were four times more likely to not always use a safety seat or seat belt than children aged four or younger (10.7 percent and 2.6 percent, respectively).

There was no significant difference in safety seat or seat belt use between Hispanic and non-Hispanic children.

Between 2006 and 2007, there were no significant changes in the rates of safety seat or seat belt use among children in any of the health districts. In District 6, however, the 13 percent drop since 2004 in the rate of children not always using a safety seat or seat belt was significant.

Percent of Idaho children 15 and younger who did not always use a safety seat or seat belt when riding in a car by public health district, 2007



Note: Responses were weighted to reflect Idaho's child population.

Trend Graphs: Child Safety Seat and Seat Belt Use

14.9

2004


10.4

2005


2006

8.2

2007



Percent of District 1 children 15 and younger who did not always use a safety seat or seat belt when riding in a car, 2004 - 2007



Percent of District 2 children 15 and younger who did not always use a safety seat or seat belt when riding in a car, 2004 - 2007

2003


0


2000

2001

2002


Percent of District 3 children 15 and younger who did not always use a safety seat or seat belt when riding in a car, 2004 - 2007






Percent of District 4 children 15 and younger who did not always use a safety seat or seat belt when riding in a car, 2004 - 2007


Percent of District 5 children 15 and younger who did not always use a safety seat or seat belt when riding in a car, 2004 - 2007






Percent of District 6 children 15 and younger who did not always use a safety seat or seat belt when riding in a car, 2004 - 2007

Percent of District 7 children 15 and younger who did not always use a safety seat or seat belt when riding in a car, 2004 - 2007





Understanding the Data Tables

The data tables on the following pages provide state and health district data for various demographic subcategories. An understanding of the tables is important to interpreting the data correctly.

- A. This title refers to the risk factor.
- B. The labels across the top of the table (i.e., Statewide and District) refer to the geographic region of residence.
- C. The labels on the left side of the table refer to the particular group the numbers represent. For example, the row labeled "Female" will contain data for women for the geographic region indicated at the top of the table.
- D. The shaded columns contain the prevalence (percent) of the risk factor.
- E. The two numbers in the column labeled "95% CI" are the lower and upper limits of the confidence interval. This interval can be interpreted to mean that there is a 95% certainty that the true prevalence of the risk factor falls within the confidence interval.
- F. "n" refers to the number of people sampled who responded to the question within the demographic group and geographic region indicated. It does NOT represent the number of people who have the risk factor in the population. An asterisk indicates that fewer than 50 people in the group responded (see Methodology on page 3).

		A lo	Sou daho <i>l</i>	rce (•						
	В	Public Health District Statewide District 1 District 2 District 3													
С		Statew	ide		Distr	ict 1			Distr	ct 2			Distri	ct 3	
	%	95% C	CI n	%	95%	G CI	n	%	95%	CI	n	%	95%	CI	n
TOTAL	27.7	26.1 2	29.3 4,9	27.1	23.2	31.3	705	27.8	23.5	32.6	724	40.1	35.3	45.1	705
SEX															
Male	27.4	24.9	30.0 1,9	57 24.5	18.8	31.1	268	28.3	22.1	35.5	288	41.9	34.4	49.8	288
Female	28.0	26.0	30.1 2,9	29.7	24.7	35.2	437	27.3	21.5	34.0	436	38.4	32.6	44.5	417







Table 1: Source of Water Supply

				our						•						
			<u>raa.</u>	10 7 10		771				: Heal	th Di	strict				
		State	wide			Distr	ict 1			Distr	ict 2			Distri	ct 3	
	%	95%		n	%	95%		n	%	95%		n	%	95%		n
TOTAL	27.7	26.1	29.3	4,950	27.1	23.2	31.3	705	27.8	23.5	32.6	724	40.1	35.3	45.1	705
SEX																
Male	27.4	24.9	30.0	1,957	24.5	18.8	31.1	268	28.3	22.1	35.5	288	41.9	34.4	49.8	288
Female	28.0	26.0	30.1	2,993	29.7	24.7	35.2	437	27.3	21.5	34.0	436	38.4	32.6	44.5	417
AGE				,												
18-24	26.7	20.1	34.6	212	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
25-34	22.7	19.1	26.6	648	30.3	20.3	42.7	81	14.2	7.9	24.2	81	37.0	27.8	47.2	110
35-44	26.3	23.1	29.8	788	27.4	19.3	37.5	105	22.7	14.7	33.4	93	38.7	29.7	48.5	114
45-54	34.1	30.7	37.7		30.7	23.0	39.5	147	32.0	24.6	40.5	154	45.6	36.1	55.4	125
55-64	30.3	27.1	33.7	979	22.2	15.7	30.4	137	31.0	23.5	39.6	163	36.0	27.5	45.4	127
65+	26.6	23.9		1,278	25.2	19.5	31.9	208	24.4	18.4	31.6	198	36.9	29.9	44.6	198
				.,												
18-34	24.3	20.8	28.3	860	28.7	19.5	40.1	106	28.1	18.4	40.4	115	41.4	30.8	53.0	138
35-54	30.2	27.8	32.7	l	29.2	23.4	35.7	252	28.1	22.4	34.6	247	41.9	35.3	48.9	239
55+	28.4	26.3		2,257	23.8	19.3	28.9	345	27.3	22.5	32.7	361	36.5	30.9	42.4	
SEX and AGE				, ·												
Male																
18-34	25.1	19.7	31.4	333	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	44.6	28.4	62.1	56
35-54	28.3	24.7	32.2	l	27.5	19.0	38.1	94	27.7	19.0	38.5	85	40.6	30.9	51.0	100
55+	28.9	25.8	32.3	l	22.3	15.9	30.3	138	26.8	19.8	35.2	154	40.1	31.3	49.6	132
Female												-				
18-34	23.5	19.3	28.4	527	34.0	21.9	48.7	70	25.7	12.7	45.1	66	38.0	25.6	52.3	82
35-54	32.2	29.1	35.5	l	30.9	23.7	39.0	158	28.4	21.5	36.4	162	43.4	34.6	52.6	139
55+	27.9	25.2	30.7	l ' l	25.2	19.5	32.0	207	27.8	21.5	35.1	207	33.4	26.6	40.9	193
INCOME				, ·												
Less than \$15,000	19.8	15.2	25.3	445	25.4	14.5	40.5	70	12.6	6.5	23.0	89	28.0	15.9	44.4	58
\$15,000 - \$24,999	26.2	21.9	31.1	l	20.6	12.7	31.7	122	28.3	18.7	40.4	128	38.8	25.8	53.7	118
\$25,000 - \$34,999	30.6	26.2	35.3	l	28.5	19.5	39.7	89	30.3	18.8	44.9	97	26.1	18.3	35.7	112
\$35,000 - \$49,999	28.6	25.0	32.6	833	32.6	23.4	43.3	112	24.5	16.6	34.7	110	40.0	29.5	51.5	123
\$50,000 - \$74,999	26.4	22.9	30.3				41.0	129	29.2	20.0	40.5	107			50.4	
\$75,000+	28.4	24.9	32.1	877	28.2	18.5	40.4	103	32.0	22.7	43.1	119	54.5	43.3	65.1	109
EMPLOYMENT																
Employed	28.5	26.3	30.7	2.732	27.4	22.3	33.2	372	30.1	24.3	36.5	385	41.1	34.5	47.9	379
Unemployed	21.5	13.9	31.8	, -	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Other**	27.0	24.6		2,064	24.4	19.6	30.0	305	24.5	18.2	32.2	318	40.1	33.2	47.3	301
EDUCATION				,			•	- 30								
K-11th Grade	26.2	20.9	32.2	415	*	*	*	*	21.5	10.8	38.4	77	24.8	13.8	40.4	71
12th Grade or GED	30.0	26.8		1,542	28.4	21.7	36.3	231	28.5	20.9	37.6	230	41.2	32.1	50.8	
Some College	31.0	28.1		1,542	29.0		36.7	253	28.7	20.9	39.0	202			51.5	
College Graduate+	22.2	19.8	24.7	,	25.4	19.0	33.2	255 175	28.4	20.2	35.7	202			51.5	
College Graduate+	22.2	19.8	∠4./	1,430	25.4	19.0	აა.2	1/5	20.4	ZZ. I	აა./	213	42.9	34.8	31.5	159

^{*}Figure not reliable by BRFSS standards (n<50)

^{**}Other includes students, homemakers, retirees, and persons unable to work

Source of Water Supply Idaho Adults With Well Water, 2007

						1	Publi	с Неа	lth Di	strict						
		Distr	ict 4			Distr	ict 5			Distr	ict 6			Distr	ict 7	
	%	95%	G CI	n	%	95%	CI	n	%	95%	CI	n	%	95%	CI	n
TOTAL	13.7	11.1	16.8	705	37.9	33.7	42.4	698	28.7	24.7	33.1	712	33.6	29.5	38.0	701
SEX																
Male	13.1	9.2	18.3	263	38.2	31.5	45.3	257	30.1	23.8	37.2	288	32.0	26.0	38.7	305
Female	14.3	11.1	18.3	442	37.7	32.5	43.2	441	27.4	22.6	32.8	424	35.2	29.7	41.2	396
AGE																
18-24	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
25-34	7.0	3.2	14.8	84	41.0	30.3	52.6	85	23.7	16.0	33.7	97	28.3	19.7	38.8	110
35-44	8.2	4.5	14.4	127	45.8	35.7	56.3	106	28.2	20.6	37.2	121	40.3	31.4	49.7	122
45-54	23.1	16.2	31.9	149	44.4	35.9	53.2	170	28.5	21.0	37.5	140	46.6	37.4	56.0	132
55-64	21.5	15.5	29.2	154	38.0	28.7	48.4	115	35.9	28.0	44.6	146	41.2	32.6	50.5	137
65+	14.5	9.4	21.6	157	33.9	26.7	41.9	186	25.8	19.4	33.5	172	33.3	26.0	41.5	159
18-34	8.1	4.0	15.8	109	31.1	22.2	41.6	115	27.9	19.4	38.5	129	21.1	14.3	29.9	148
35-54	15.1	11.0	20.3	276	45.1	38.5	51.9	276	28.3	22.9	34.6	261	43.5	37.1	50.2	254
55+	18.1	13.9	23.1	311	35.8	29.9	42.2	301	30.5	25.3	36.3	318	37.3	31.5	43.4	296
SEX and AGE																
Male																
18-34	*	*	*	*	35.8	22.5	51.7	51	*	*	*	*	20.4	10.9	35.1	55
35-54	15.3	9.5	23.8	108	41.8	31.6	52.7	95	23.0	15.9	32.1	111	38.3	29.1	48.4	112
55+	16.0	10.3	23.9	114	36.8	27.7	47.1	109	35.3	27.1	44.4	127	38.9	30.5	48.1	138
Female																
18-34	8.4	3.8	17.7	72	25.3	15.0	39.3	64	22.8	14.0	34.9	80	21.7	13.3	33.3	93
35-54	14.9	9.9	21.7	168	48.2	40.1	56.5	181	33.7	26.0	42.4	150	49.0	40.4	57.8	142
55+	19.9	14.4	26.8	197	34.9	27.5	43.0	192	26.1	20.0	33.2	191	35.7	28.1	44.0	158
INCOME																
Less than \$15,000	15.5	7.2	30.4	55	17.6	9.1	31.3	74	26.3	13.6	44.7	65	*	*	*	*
\$15,000 - \$24,999	16.3	8.1	30.3	76	27.8	19.3	38.3	127	30.6	19.9	43.9	117	22.1	14.0	33.0	104
\$25,000 - \$34,999	18.2	9.6	31.8	90	54.3	43.2	65.0	102	29.9	20.6	41.3	91	44.4	31.9	57.7	85
\$35,000 - \$49,999	9.8	5.2	17.8	103	44.8	35.4	54.6	133	28.0	19.8	37.9	118	28.3	20.7	37.3	134
\$50,000 - \$74,999	11.0	6.3	18.4	112	28.5	19.0	40.3	81	26.1	17.2	37.5	127	38.5	29.5	48.3	125
\$75,000+	12.4	8.5	17.8	195	39.3	28.3	51.5	88	32.4	23.7	42.5	117	41.5	32.6	50.9	146
EMPLOYMENT																
Employed	13.0	9.6	17.2	396	43.5	37.9	49.2	406	27.4	22.4	33.1	394	36.5	31.3	42.0	400
Unemployed	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Other**	16.0	11.9	21.0	294	31.7	25.1	39.2	268	31.3	24.6	38.9	294	30.4	23.6	38.2	284
EDUCATION																
K-11th Grade	*	*	*	*	42.0	29.3	55.8	77	47.7	31.0	65.0	59	33.7	19.9	51.0	50
12th Grade or GED	16.1	10.4	24.1	174	36.2	28.9	44.2	226	26.0	19.8	33.3	243	42.1	34.0	50.7	184
Some College	20.2	14.6	27.3	208	35.1	28.0	42.9	220	32.6	25.5	40.6		34.9	27.4	43.2	222
College Graduate+	8.7	5.9	12.6	287	42.4	34.4	50.9	174	19.2	14.0	25.8	177	26.3	20.1	33.7	245

^{*}Figure not reliable by BRFSS standards (n<50)

 $[\]ensuremath{^{**}}\xspace$ Other includes students, homemakers, retirees, and persons unable to work

Table 2: Type of Drinking Water

			1	уре	of	Dri	nkiı	ng V	Nate	er						
lo	laho	adul	ts w	ho d	rink ı	unfilt	erec	l tap	wate	r at	hom	e, 20	07			
									Publi	: Hea	Ith Di	istrict				
		State	wide	!		Distr	ict 1			Distr	ict 2			Distr	ict 3	
	%	95%	6 CI	n	%	95%	CI	n	%	95%	CI	n	%	95%	CI	n
TOTAL	44.8	42.9	46.7	4,943	51.6	46.9	56.2	702	49.0	44.2	53.9	725	38.0	33.6	42.7	70
SEX																
Male	45.4	42.4	48.4	1,946	52.4	45.1	59.6	266	49.5	42.1	57.0	289	35.9	29.4	43.1	28
Female	44.2	42.0	46.6	2,997	50.8	45.1	56.4	436	48.5	42.4	54.7	436	40.0	34.3	46.1	42
AGE				,												l
18-24	41.5	34.1	49.2	217	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
25-34	36.2	31.6	41.1	656	51.0	38.7	63.2	78	43.3	32.1	55.2	84	29.1	20.6	39.4	11:
35-44	42.5	38.4	46.7	785	51.8	41.2	62.3	104	47.0	35.9	58.4	95	28.3	20.5	37.6	11
45-54	48.3	44.6	51.9		57.4	48.5	65.8	149	50.7	42.1	59.3	156	39.3	30.4	49.0	12
55-64	49.7	46.0	53.4		51.7	42.4	61.0	137	52.7	43.4	61.7	160	49.5	39.8	59.2	12
65+	52.5	49.2	55.7		59.9	52.2	67.1	206	51.1	43.5	58.7	196	49.7	42.1	57.2	19
18-34	38.4	34.2	42.6	873	41.3	30.8	52.8	104	46.2	35.1	57.7	117	32.3	23.1	43.1	13
35-54	45.4	42.6	48.2	1,810	54.8	47.9	61.5	253	49.1	42.2	56.1	251	33.4	27.4	40.1	24
55+	51.2	48.7	53.6	2,233	56.0	49.9	61.9	343	51.8	45.9	57.6	356	49.6	43.5	55.6	32
SEX and AGE																l
Male																
18-34	37.5	31.2	44.2	333	*	*	*	*	49.0	33.5	64.6	50	27.6	15.8	43.7	5
35-54	47.6	43.2	51.9		58.7	47.6	68.9	92	48.6	37.5	59.9	87	36.7	27.5	47.0	9
55+	51.9	48.0	55.8	904	55.2	45.6	64.3	138	51.0	41.8	60.1	152	44.8	35.5	54.5	13
Female																ľ
18-34	39.3	34.1	44.7	540	42.2	28.8	56.9	68	42.7	28.1	58.6	67	36.7	24.4	51.1	8
35-54	43.2	39.8	46.7	1,108	50.9	42.7	59.1	161	49.6	41.4	57.8	164	30.1	22.7	38.6	14:
55+	50.5	47.4	53.6	1,329	56.8	49.3	63.9	205	52.5	45.0	59.9	204	53.7	46.0	61.2	190
INCOME																l
Less than \$15,000	50.4	43.4	57.4	454	44.5	30.5	59.5	69	68.2	51.8	81.1	92	45.3	31.1	60.3	6
\$15,000 - \$24,999	44.1	39.2	49.2		56.5	45.1	67.4	121	36.9	26.9	48.2	128	38.2		50.5	11
\$25,000 - \$34,999	49.5	44.4	54.6	665	53.1	41.2	64.6	89	58.5	45.6	70.3	95	41.5	31.4	52.5	110
\$35,000 - \$49,999	44.9	40.6	49.2	828	55.5	44.2	66.3	112	52.1	41.2	62.9	110	38.9	29.4	49.4	12
\$50,000 - \$74,999	44.9	40.2	49.7	790	49.8	39.9	59.7	129	39.7	29.4	50.9	107	31.3	22.5	41.6	10
\$75,000+	40.7	36.6	44.9	872	50.7	39.2	62.1	101	45.3	34.3	56.8	119	28.5	20.2	38.5	108
EMPLOYMENT																l
Employed	43.2	40.7	45.7	2,729	51.6	45.5	57.8	372	46.3	40.1	52.7	387	33.0	27.4	39.1	37
Unemployed	43.0	33.0	53.6	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Other**	47.9		50.8		52.7	45.8	59.5	302	51.2	43.7	58.6	317	45.8	39.0	52.7	30
EDUCATION											·					
K-11th Grade	42.5	36.3	48.9	421	*	*	*	*	51.4	35.1	67.4	79	36.7	23.7	51.9	7
12th Grade or GED	42.5		46.1		45.7	37.9	53.8	231	50.0	41.7	58.3	228	37.7	29.9	46.2	25
Some College	45.8	42.6	49.1	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	52.4	44.6	60.1	253	48.3	38.9	57.8	202	38.7	31.1	46.8	21
College Graduate+	47.0		50.5		61.0	52.6	68.8	174	47.5	39.6	55.5	214	39.0		47.5	15
				1 ,						- 2.3						

^{*}Figure not reliable by BRFSS standards (n<50)
**Other includes students, homemakers, retirees, and persons unable to work

Type of Drinking Water
Idaho adults who drink unfiltered tap water at home, 2007

							Publi	с Неа	lth Di	strict						
		Distr	ict 4			Distr	ict 5			Distr	ict 6			Distr	ict 7	
	%	95%	CI	n	%	95%	CI	n	%	95%	CI	n	%	95%	CI	n
TOTAL	35.6	31.3	40.0	708	46.5	42.0	51.1	693	46.5	42.0	51.1	713	61.0	56.1	65.8	699
SEX																
Male	36.5	29.7	43.8	263	49.5	42.1	57.0	251	46.7	39.8	53.6	288	61.9	54.5	68.8	306
Female	34.7	29.8	39.9	445	43.7	38.4	49.2	442	46.3	40.5	52.3	425	60.2	53.5	66.5	393
AGE																
18-24	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
25-34	26.8	18.0	37.9	89	42.2	31.8	53.4	86	41.2	30.9	52.4	98	48.5	38.3	58.8	109
35-44	36.6	28.0	46.2	125	45.7	35.6	56.2	105	48.2	38.9	57.6	121	58.6	49.1	67.5	120
45-54	38.4	30.2	47.4	150	51.5	42.7	60.3	168	44.6	36.0	53.6	142	68.2	58.9	76.2	134
55-64	39.1	31.2	47.6	156	52.7	42.5	62.6	112	56.0	47.2	64.3	146	61.5	52.2	70.1	135
65+	44.7	36.2	53.6	154	50.4	42.2	58.5	184	52.2	43.9	60.5	170	66.2	58.0	73.6	157
18-34	28.6	20.1	38.9	115	38.5	28.5	49.7	118	39.9	30.4	50.2	130	56.6	45.9	66.8	150
35-54	37.5	31.3	44.0	275	48.7	42.0	55.6	273	46.3	39.9	52.8	263	63.6	57.0	69.7	254
55+	41.8	35.9	47.9	310	51.4	45.0	57.8	296	54.0	47.9	59.9	316	63.9	57.7	69.6	292
SEX and AGE																
Male																
18-34	*	*	*	*	40.7	25.6	57.7	51	*	*	*	*	54.8	39.2	69.5	56
35-54	39.0	29.7	49.3	107	54.2	43.2	64.8	93	47.3	37.7	57.1	112	63.2	53.3	72.1	112
55+	44.4	35.0	54.3	115	52.7	42.3	62.7	105	54.7	45.4	63.7	126	69.9	60.8	77.6	138
Female																
18-34	28.9	19.5	40.6	78	36.1	24.5	49.6	67	41.5	29.2	55.0	81	58.5	43.9	71.7	94
35-54	35.8	28.4	44.0	168	43.6	35.7	51.8	180	45.3	37.1	53.9	151	64.0	55.2	72.0	142
55+	39.5	32.4	47.1	195	50.4	42.4	58.5	191	53.3	45.4	61.0	190	58.1	49.4	66.2	154
INCOME																
Less than \$15,000	49.6	33.0	66.2	58	44.9	30.6	60.1	72	44.9	30.4	60.3	67	*	*	*	*
\$15,000 - \$24,999	32.4	20.3	47.3	74	47.4	35.7	59.4	127	48.3	36.1	60.7	116	51.0	37.0	64.8	104
\$25,000 - \$34,999	37.8	26.7	50.3	91	58.5	47.1	69.0	101	55.6	44.2	66.5	92	64.1	50.6	75.7	87
\$35,000 - \$49,999	25.7	17.8	35.6	102	48.2	38.6	58.0	129	51.9	41.4	62.2	118	63.0	52.3	72.5	132
\$50,000 - \$74,999	43.8	32.2	56.0	113	39.1	28.0	51.5	81	48.8	38.6	59.0	127	60.0	50.1	69.2	125
\$75,000+	34.7	27.4	42.6	195	41.9	30.5	54.3	88	34.6	25.8	44.7	117	65.3	56.3	73.3	144
EMPLOYMENT																
Employed	34.4	29.0	40.3	399	47.9	42.2	53.6	402	45.1	39.3	51.0	395	60.5	54.8	65.8	397
Unemployed	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Other**	37.0	30.7	43.8	294	46.8	39.1	54.7	267	50.0	42.8	57.3	294	63.7	54.3	72.2	286
EDUCATION																
K-11th Grade	*	*	*	*	47.9	35.3	60.8	80	33.6	21.3	48.5	59	39.7	25.8	55.4	50
12th Grade or GED	34.8	26.0	44.7	175	45.8	37.6	54.2	219	45.9	38.0	54.1	244	51.1	42.5	59.7	183
Some College	30.6	23.8	38.4	211	47.0	38.8	55.5	219	51.4	43.5	59.2	231	66.8	57.3	75.1	224
College Graduate+	38.4	32.0	45.3	285	46.4	38.2	54.8	174	45.6	37.5	53.9	177	66.8	58.2	74.5	242

^{*}Figure not reliable by BRFSS standards (n<50)
**Other includes students, homemakers, retirees, and persons unable to work

Table 3: Sex Education, Part I

No Sex Education

Idaho adults who think there should be no comprehensive sex education in schools, 2007

								007								
								F	Public	Healt	th Dis	strict				
		State	wide			Distr	ict 1			Distr	ict 2			Distri	ct 3	
	%	95%	CI	n	%	95%	CI	n	%	95%	CI	n	%	95%	CI	n
TOTAL	6.6	5.7	7.6	4,460	6.9	4.9	9.5	630	5.4	3.7	8.0	661	9.0	5.9	13.4	627
SEX																
Male	6.6	5.3	8.3	1,771	7.4	4.5	12.0	242	6.0	3.4	10.4	261	10.7	5.5	19.7	250
Female	6.6	5.4	7.9	2,689	6.3	4.2	9.5	388	4.9	2.9	8.1	400	7.3	5.0	10.7	377
AGE																
18-24	4.6	1.8	11.3	216	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
25-34	3.6	2.2	5.7	624	6.4	2.5	15.4	75	3.3	1.0	10.2	82	4.3	1.3	13.9	106
35-44	7.2	5.4	9.6	749	9.0	4.0	19.0	97	4.5	1.6	12.2	89	10.1	5.8	16.9	109
45-54	5.2	3.8	7.1	937	7.8	4.0	14.9	136	6.5	3.2	12.7	147	4.6	2.0	10.1	113
55-64	7.7	5.9	10.0	876	7.3	3.7	13.8	123	5.4	2.7	10.5	150	10.9	5.9	19.6	109
65+	12.4	10.1	15.0	1,037	7.0	4.0	11.8	171	7.4	4.1	13.1	159	12.7	7.7	20.1	162
18-34	4.0	2.4	6.7	840	4.7	2.0	10.8	101	4.4	1.6	11.5	116	8.0	2.4	23.4	132
35-54	6.2	5.0	7.7	1,686	8.4	5.0	13.8	233	5.7	3.1	10.0	236	7.6	4.8	11.8	222
55+	10.0	8.6	11.7	1,913	7.1	4.6	10.8	294	6.5	4.1	10.0	309	11.9	8.1	17.1	271
SEX and AGE																
Male																
18-34	3.6	1.5	8.5	326	*	*	*	*	4.2	0.9	17.1	50	13.8	3.9	39.0	54
35-54	5.9	4.2	8.2	653	10.2	4.8	20.2	86	8.3	3.9	16.8	79	3.5	1.3	9.4	87
55+	11.1	8.9	13.9	788	7.2	3.7	13.7	119	6.1	2.9	12.2	132	15.7	9.4	25.0	109
Female																
18-34	4.4	2.4	7.9	514	5.3	1.8	14.6	64	4.6	1.2	15.8	66	1.6	0.2	10.3	78
35-54	6.6	5.0	8.6	1,033	6.6	3.4	12.4	147	3.3	1.3	7.8	157	11.3	6.8	18.3	135
55+	9.0	7.2	11.2	1,125	7.0	4.0	11.9	175	6.8	3.9	11.7	177	8.5	4.7	15.0	162
INCOME																
Less than \$15,000	5.8	3.4	9.9	398	0.0	0.0	0.0	62	4.0	1.5	10.0	86	7.1	2.3	20.3	53
\$15,000 - \$24,999	8.1	5.0	12.8	699	7.6	3.4	16.2	102	8.0	3.3	18.1	116	18.2	6.6	41.2	107
\$25,000 - \$34,999	5.7	3.8	8.4	609	5.2	1.4	17.6	80	7.2	2.5	18.8	89	13.1	6.3	25.0	102
\$35,000 - \$49,999	7.1	5.3	9.4	767	7.3	3.7	13.8	106	4.5	1.9	10.2	101	4.9	2.3	10.2	110
\$50,000 - \$74,999	5.0	3.6	6.9	734	6.9	3.0	15.2	123	5.5	2.2	13.3	98	4.1	1.8	9.3	98
\$75,000+	5.8	4.2	7.8	819	6.8	2.9	15.2	91	2.4	0.7	7.5	111	6.9	3.4	13.7	103
EMPLOYMENT																
Employed	5.1	4.0	6.4	2,542	7.5	4.8	11.3	339	5.1	2.9	8.8	364	8.6	4.5	15.6	352
Unemployed	7.4	4.2	12.8		*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Other**	9.4	7.7	11.4	1,777	5.3	3.2	8.5	264	6.8	4.0	11.3	279	9.9	6.5	14.8	251
EDUCATION																
K-11th Grade	3.6	2.1	6.2	366	*	*	*	*	0.4	0.1	3.2	69	4.8	1.4	14.9	62
12th Grade or GED	7.2	5.3	9.6	1,380	5.7	3.0	10.6	210	5.1	2.4	10.4	208	11.3	5.0	23.4	220
Some College	6.8	5.3	8.7	1,399		4.5	12.9	222	7.0	4.1	11.8	186		4.8	12.6	
College Graduate+	6.6	5.3	8.3	1,309	8.7	4.8	15.3	158	6.7	3.1	13.8	196	9.0	5.1	15.3	143

^{*}Figure not reliable by BRFSS standards (n<50)

^{**}Other includes students, homemakers, retirees, and persons unable to work

No Sex Education

Idaho adults who think there should be no comprehensive sex education in schools, 2007

						I	Publi	с Неа	lth Di	strict						
		Distr	ict 4			Distr	ict 5			Distr	ict 6			Distr	ict 7	
	%	95%	CI	n	%	95%	CI	n	%	95%	CI	n	%	95%	CI	n
TOTAL	4.4	3.1	6.3	643	5.4	3.7	7.9	621	7.2	5.4	9.4	644	9.6	6.7	13.6	634
SEX																
Male	4.0	2.3	6.9	242	4.4	2.5	7.5	235	8.8	6.1	12.7	266	7.1	4.6	10.8	275
Female	4.8	3.0	7.5	401	6.5	3.9	10.6	386	5.5	3.6	8.2	378	12.1	7.3	19.3	359
AGE																
18-24	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
25-34	2.6	0.8	7.7	83	1.5	0.2	10.1	85	4.4	1.6	11.4	91	3.9	1.5	9.8	102
35-44	4.5	2.0	9.8	122	1.2	0.2	8.1	98	9.3	5.1	16.3	118	14.4	8.8	22.7	116
45-54	3.5	1.2	9.6	139	1.5	0.5	5.1	152	7.3	3.9	13.5	130	7.3	3.7	14.1	120
55-64	6.7	3.5	12.3	140	7.8	3.6	16.1	101	6.3	3.1	12.4	128	9.7	5.3	17.3	125
65+	9.5	5.1	16.8	126	20.9	13.5	30.9	151	16.7	10.9	24.7	141	15.7	9.9	24.2	127
18-34	1.8	0.6	5.6	109	0.8	0.1	5.8	115	2.2	0.8	6.1	124	6.6	2.1	18.9	143
35-54	4.0	2.1	7.5	261	1.4	0.5	4.0	250	8.3	5.4	12.6	248	10.9	7.3	15.9	236
55+	8.0	5.1	12.2	266	14.7	10.0	21.1	252	11.8	8.2	16.7	269	12.5	8.6	17.8	252
SEX and AGE																
Male																
18-34	*	*	*	*	0.0	0.0	0.0	51	*	*	*	*	1.2	0.2	8.1	52
35-54	3.1	1.0	9.4	103	0.0	0.0	0.0	86	9.8	5.4	17.1	108	11.4	6.3	19.7	104
55+	10.0	5.4	17.7	103	14.4	8.4	23.6	97	15.7	9.9	24.0	109	9.8	5.5	17.0	119
Female																
18-34	3.7	1.2	10.9	75	1.9	0.3	12.3	64	1.6	0.4	6.3	76	11.7	3.5	32.8	91
35-54	5.0	2.3	10.3	158	2.7	0.9	7.7	164	6.7	3.6	12.4	140	10.4	6.1	17.1	132
55+	6.0	3.3	10.7	163	15.0	8.5	24.9	155	8.1	4.4	14.2	160	15.2	9.4	23.7	133
INCOME																
Less than \$15,000	*	*	*	*	15.9	6.2	35.3	65	8.1	3.4	18.2	52	*	*	*	*
\$15,000 - \$24,999	3.5	0.8	13.4	68	6.3	3.1	12.5	112	4.9	2.0	11.7	104	5.9	2.8	12.0	90
\$25,000 - \$34,999	2.6	8.0	7.8	80	4.2	1.6	10.4	92	9.3	4.5	18.3	87	0.9	0.2	3.6	79
\$35,000 - \$49,999	6.8	3.1	14.3	98	4.0	1.6	9.6	122	6.8	3.3	13.3	111	14.9	8.8	24.2	119
\$50,000 - \$74,999	2.6	1.0	6.7	104	3.2	1.0	10.0	74	6.4	3.4	11.7	122	8.2	4.3	15.2	115
\$75,000+	4.4	2.3	8.4	189	1.4	0.3	6.1	80	9.5	5.2	16.6	107	9.5	5.3	16.4	138
EMPLOYMENT																
Employed	2.2	1.1	4.0	378	3.2	1.8	5.8	371	5.3	3.4	8.1	367	6.4	4.2	9.6	371
Unemployed	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Other**	9.1	5.9	13.9	251	9.6	5.8	15.6	229	9.8	6.7	14.2	255	14.8	8.6	24.2	248
EDUCATION																
K-11th Grade	*	*	*	*	3.0	0.8	10.3	67	1.4	0.3	5.7	52	*	*	*	*
12th Grade or GED	6.9	3.8	12.2	159	7.7	4.3	13.6	207	6.1	3.6	10.1	212	4.7	2.4	8.9	164
Some College	3.1	1.4	6.4	187	4.9	2.7	8.9	186	8.2	5.2	12.7	214	11.8	5.9	22.3	204
College Graduate+	4.0	2.2	7.1	265	3.6	1.5	8.5	160	9.5	5.8	15.3	165	10.8	6.9	16.7	222

^{*}Figure not reliable by BRFSS standards (n<50)

 $[\]ensuremath{^{\star\star}}\xspace\ensuremath{^{\star\star}}\xspace\ensuremath{^{\circ}}\xspace\e$

Table 3: Sex Education, Part II

Sex Education in 7th Grade+ Idaho adults who think comprehensive sex education should begin in 7th grade or later, 2007

				in 7	th gra	ade d	or la	ter, 2	2007							
							F	Public	Heal	th Dis	strict					
		State	wide			Distr	ict 1			Distr	ict 2			Distri	ct 3	
	%	95%	CI	n	%	95%	CI	n	%	95%	CI	n	%	95%	CI	n
TOTAL	39.2	37.1	41.3	4,134	36.8	31.9	41.9	585	39.3	34.1	44.8	623	45.7	40.5	50.9	575
SEX																
Male	45.0	41.7	48.4	1,627	40.1	32.5	48.2	223	43.7	35.7	52.0	244	53.0	45.0	60.9	227
Female	33.3	30.9	35.8	2,507	33.4	27.7	39.7	362	35.0	28.5	42.1	379	38.7	32.5	45.3	348
AGE																
18-24	44.7	37.0	52.7	209	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
25-34	41.0	35.7	46.5	600	42.1	29.8	55.4	70	32.0	21.7	44.3	79	44.2	34.1	54.8	103
35-44	39.7	35.4	44.2	691	36.8	26.7	48.2	90	31.5	21.1	44.2	85	46.5	36.1	57.3	96
45-54	39.4	35.6	43.4	887	38.3	29.4	48.1	127	40.2	31.5	49.5	139	40.9	30.9	51.7	107
55-64	32.7	29.0	36.6	809	27.1	19.2	36.9	113	42.1	32.2	52.8	141	33.8	24.5	44.6	99
65+	37.0	33.4	40.8	920	26.8	20.2	34.7	158	39.7	31.2	48.8	147	38.8	30.5	47.8	145
18-34	42.6	38.1	47.2	809	46.6	34.9	58.7	95	40.6	29.5	52.7	111	54.2	43.8	64.4	127
35-54	39.6	36.7	42.6	1,578	37.6	30.8	45.0	217	36.5	29.6	44.0	224	43.9	36.5	51.6	203
55+	34.8	32.2	37.5	1,729	27.0	21.6	33.1	271	40.8	34.2	47.8	288	36.5	30.1	43.4	244
SEX and AGE																
Male																
18-34	48.6	41.5	55.7	315	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	66.0	50.0	79.0	50
35-54	43.7	39.1	48.4	609	40.9	30.1	52.8	79	42.6	30.8	55.4	72	48.7	37.6	60.0	83
55+	42.4	38.1	46.8	700	30.5	22.2	40.3	109	48.2	37.9	58.7	124	40.8	30.2	52.2	94
Female																
18-34	36.2	31.0	41.6	494	43.8	29.4	59.3	60	40.1	24.8	57.5	63	42.8	30.1	56.4	77
35-54	35.4	31.9	39.1	969	34.4	26.4	43.3	138	31.2	23.9	39.7	152	39.0	29.6	49.2	120
55+	27.7	24.8	30.9	1,029	23.5	17.2	31.1	162	33.8	26.2	42.3	164	33.0	25.5	41.5	150
INCOME																
Less than \$15,000	41.9	34.2	50.1	373	40.8	25.7	57.9	62	35.9	20.8	54.3	81	52.1	35.8	67.9	50
\$15,000 - \$24,999	38.4	33.3	43.7	646	47.6	35.2	60.3	95	40.7	28.1	54.7	106	47.0	35.1	59.2	97
\$25,000 - \$34,999	37.3	32.0	42.8	572	35.6	24.6	48.3	77	37.3	24.9	51.6	84	41.6	30.7	53.5	92
\$35,000 - \$49,999	36.6	31.9	41.6	706	30.3	20.4	42.4	96	31.9	22.4	43.1	95	45.2	33.4	57.5	102
\$50,000 - \$74,999	42.2	37.3	47.3	687	38.2	28.5	48.9	116	45.9	33.5	58.8	93	40.4	28.7	53.2	92
\$75,000+	36.6	32.3	41.2	765	26.3	16.7	38.8	84	48.2	36.5	60.1	108	46.2	34.2	58.6	95
EMPLOYMENT																
Employed	40.4	37.7	43.2	2,401	39.3	32.9	46.2	314	42.7	36.1	49.6	347	47.7	40.9	54.6	326
Unemployed	45.0	33.7	56.8	117	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Other**	36.1	32.9	39.4	1,609	32.8	25.7	40.8	247	35.1	27.0	44.1	258	39.2	32.2	46.7	228
EDUCATION																
K-11th Grade	37.0	29.9	44.7	347	*	*	*	*	51.0	33.5	68.2	68	45.7	30.7	61.4	59
12th Grade or GED	43.1	39.2	47.1	1,283	41.5	33.1	50.5	198	38.7	30.3	47.8	198	48.3	38.9	57.9	201
Some College	37.8	34.3	41.5	1,286	37.7	29.4	46.8	204	38.9	28.7	50.1	170	42.1	33.9	50.7	183
College Graduate+	37.2	33.7	40.9	1,212	32.6	24.3	42.1	145	34.8	27.1	43.4	185	46.6	37.4	56.1	130
=																

^{*}Figure not reliable by BRFSS standards (n<50)

^{**}Other includes students, homemakers, retirees, and persons unable to work

Sex Education in 7th Grade+

Idaho adults who think comprehensive sex education should begin in 7th grade or later, 2007

		Public Health District District 5 District 7														
		Distr	ict 4			Distr	ict 5			Distr	ict 6			Distr	ict 7	
	%	95%	CI	n	%	95%	CI	n	%	95%	CI	n	%	95%	CI	n
TOTAL	35.4	30.6	40.4	607	38.4	33.5	43.5	584	45.8	40.7	50.9	587	37.8	32.9	43.0	573
SEX																
Male	43.5	35.7	51.6	227	46.8	38.9	54.8	221	49.1	41.3	56.9	235	40.5	33.1	48.4	250
Female	27.0	22.2	32.5	380	29.6	24.5	35.4	363	42.5	36.1	49.2	352	35.0	28.8	41.8	323
AGE																
18-24	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
25-34	43.5	31.6	56.2	80	38.3	27.8	50.0	84	39.5	28.7	51.5	87	35.8	25.9	47.0	97
35-44	33.1	24.5	43.0	116	46.6	35.9	57.5	97	51.0	41.0	60.9	107	40.8	31.1	51.3	100
45-54	35.8	27.3	45.2	135	33.3	25.0	42.7	149	49.3	39.8	59.0	119	45.4	35.5	55.6	111
55-64	29.3	21.5	38.5	129	30.4	21.2	41.4	93	45.5	36.3	55.1	120	32.1	23.4	42.2	114
65+	34.8	25.3	45.7	115	39.2	30.2	49.0	127	46.3	36.7	56.3	119	43.0	33.6	53.0	109
18-34	40.1	29.8	51.4	106	40.5	29.8	52.2	114	42.1	31.9	53.0	120	33.8	24.7	44.3	136
35-54	34.3	28.1	41.1	251	39.8	32.9	47.1	246	50.1	43.2	57.1	226	43.2	36.1	50.5	211
55+	31.8	25.5	38.8	244	34.6	28.0	42.0	220	45.9	39.2	52.8	239	37.1	30.5	44.1	223
SEX and AGE																
Male																
18-34	*	*	*	*	49.1	32.8	65.5	51	*	*	*	*	36.8	23.3	52.7	51
35-54	38.1	28.6	48.8	100	45.4	34.3	56.9	86	58.1	47.5	68.1	96	42.2	32.0	53.2	93
55+	44.1	33.5	55.2	92	46.0	34.9	57.5	83	50.4	39.7	61.0	92	44.3	34.4	54.6	106
Female		00.0	00.2	02		0	00	00	•		00	02		0	00	
18-34	29.7	19.9	41.9	72	29.5	18.9	42.9	63	43.4	30.3	57.5	74	30.7	19.7	44.5	85
35-54	30.1	22.8	38.6	151	34.4	26.6	43.2	160	42.1	33.4	51.3	130	44.2	34.9	53.9	118
55+	20.3	14.2	28.1	152	24.0	16.7	33.2	137	42.1	33.7	50.9	147	29.6	21.7	39.0	117
INCOME				-												
Less than \$15,000	*	*	*	*	36.4	21.9	53.8	58	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
\$15,000 - \$24,999	29.8	17.9	45.3	66	36.2	23.5	51.1	103	35.3	24.1	48.3	98	31.8	19.7	47.0	81
\$25,000 - \$34,999	36.1	23.6	50.8	76	37.2	26.3	49.6	87	39.8	28.5	52.4	79	34.6	23.4	47.9	77
\$35,000 - \$49,999	33.4	21.9	47.2	91	38.1	28.6	48.7	117	45.7	34.7	57.1	103	30.8	21.6	41.8	102
\$50,000 - \$74,999	41.3	29.8	53.9	99	49.5	36.6	62.5	71	48.6	37.9	59.5	111	38.8	28.7	49.9	105
\$75,000+	30.8	23.6	39.0	179	34.9	22.3	49.9	78	51.5	39.2	63.7	95	42.3	33.0	52.2	126
EMPLOYMENT																
Employed	36.5	30.5	43.0	366	37.9	32.2	43.9	358	47.3	40.9	53.8	344	37.2	31.5	43.2	346
Unemployed	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Other**	32.3	25.4	40.2	228	38.6	29.7	48.4	208	43.1	34.9	51.7	226	36.4	27.7	46.0	214
EDUCATION																
K-11th Grade	*	*	*	*	38.6	25.7	53.3	64	34.0	19.5	52.2	50	*	*	*	*
12th Grade or GED	40.8	30.4	52.1	146	38.5	30.0	47.7	191	49.2	40.1	58.3	195	43.6	34.5	53.2	154
Some College	33.1	25.2	42.0	179	41.0	31.6	51.0	174	42.9	34.6	51.5	193	34.8	26.2	44.7	183
College Graduate+	34.2	27.7	41.4	252	34.9	26.9	43.9	154	49.8	40.7	59.0	148	37.7	29.8	46.3	198

^{*}Figure not reliable by BRFSS standards (n<50)

 $[\]ensuremath{^{\star\star}}\xspace\ensuremath{^{\circ}}\xspace\en$

Table 4: Child Safety Seat and Seat Belt Use

Child Safety Seat and Seat Belt Use

Idaho children aged 15 and younger who did not always use a safety seat or seat belt when riding in a car, 2007

					Public Health District												
		State			Distr	ict 1			Distr	ict 2		District 3					
	%	95% CI n		%	% 95% CI n		n	%	95% CI		n	%	95% CI		n		
TOTAL	8.2	6.7	10.0	1,399	5.7	2.8	11.3	173	4.4	2.0	9.4	171	9.2	5.6	14.9	211	
AGE																	
Less than 2	0.7	0.3	1.9	203	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	
2-7	5.2	3.4	7.8	495	3.5	0.5	21.0	57	4.1	1.1	13.7	53	7.8	3.4	17.0	71	
8-12	10.9	7.8	14.9	418	9.5	3.9	21.4	66	4.0	0.8	18.0	53	13.8	6.4	27.5	62	
13-15	14.4	10.2	20.0	283	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	
0-4	2.6	1.5	4.5	461	*	*	*	*	3.5	8.0	14.7	52	3.4	8.0	12.5	74	
5-15	10.7	8.6	13.2	938	7.1	3.4	14.4	127	4.8	1.9	11.6	119	11.9	7.0	19.6	137	

^{*}Figure not reliable by BRFSS standards (n<50)

Child Safety Seat and Seat Belt Use

Idaho children aged 15 and younger who did not always use a car safety seat or seat belt when riding in a car, 2007

	T						9		•								
	Public Health District																
		District 4				Distr			Distr	ict 6			District 7				
	%	95%	CI	n	%	95%	CI	n	%	95%	CI	n	%	95%	G CI	n	
TOTAL	2.5	1.0	6.3	205	9.5	5.3	16.7	198	16.0	11.2	22.3	217	14.4	9.9	20.3	224	
AGE																	
Less than 2	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	
2-7	2.7	0.7	10.5	77	4.7	1.7	12.6	74	8.4	3.8	17.5	78	6.7	3.0	14.3	85	
8-12	2.7	0.4	17.0	59	9.2	3.7	21.3	52	21.2	12.3	34.1	67	19.3	10.5	32.7	59	
13-15	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	
0-4	0.0	*	*	72	5.2	1.8	14.4	60	2.9	0.9	8.6	75	6.4	2.4	15.9	82	
5-15	3.8	1.5	9.4	133	11.1	5.7	20.7	138	23.5	16.5	32.4	142	18.1	12.1	26.1	142	

^{*}Figure not reliable by BRFSS standards (n<50)

Appendix B: Trend Tables

							Ris				_						
		1	Ву	Pub	lic F	lealt	h Dis	stric	•								
										Public	c Hea	lth D	istric	t			
		Statewide					Distr				Distr	ict 2		District 3			
		%	95%		n	%	95%		n	%	95%		n	%	95%		n
Well Water	2000	29.9	28.4		4,856	29.5	25.9	33.3	693	26.3	22.9	30.0	689	41.8	37.7	46.0	691
	2001	30.6	29.2		4,662	30.5	26.8	34.6	655	26.0	22.6	29.7	654	42.5	38.3	46.7	657
	2002	29.8	28.3		4,772	32.4	28.7	36.4	713	29.1	25.4	33.0	692	42.9	38.7	47.2	681
	2003	30.5	29.0		4,763	32.8	28.9	36.8	676	29.8	25.9	34.0	646	41.6	37.4	45.9	671
	2004	30.3	28.8		4,993	31.0	27.2	35.0	688	26.0	22.6	29.6	728	40.6	36.5	44.8	703
	2005	28.0	26.5		5,313		22.9	30.4	848	25.2	21.7	29.1	736	39.3	35.0	43.7	728
	2006	28.3	26.7		5,075	22.7	19.4	26.4	710	25.8	22.0	29.9	717	36.8	32.8	41.0	748
Unfiltered Tap	2007	27.7	26.1	29.3 *	4,950 *	27.1	23.2	31.3	705 *	27.8	23.5	32.6	724 *	40.1 *	35.3	45.1 *	705 *
Water	2000 2001	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	2001	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	2002	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	2003	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	2004	48.0	46.2	40 B	5,349	54.0	49.5	58.4	853	53.8	49.3	58.2	738	39.6	35.2	44.2	730
	2006	46.3	44.5		5,109	51.4	46.8	55.9	719	50.4	45.5	55.3	720	40.1	36.0	44.2	755
	2007	44.8	42.9		4,943	51.6	46.9	56.2	702	49.0	44.2	53.9	725	38.0	33.6	42.7	703
No Sex Education	2007	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
NO GEX Education	2001	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	2002	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	2003	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	2004	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	2005	5.8	5.1	6.7	4,822	5.9	4.4	7.9	773	3.6	2.3	5.6	667	6.8	5.0	9.2	651
	2006	6.6	5.8	7.5	4,585	8.1	5.5	11.6	649	7.6	5.6	10.4	657	6.3	4.6	8.6	677
	2007	6.6	5.7	7.6	4,460	6.9	4.9	9.5	630	5.4	3.7	8.0	661	9.0	5.9	13.4	627
Sex Education in	2000	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
7th Grade +	2001	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	2002	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	2003	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	2004	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	2005	40.5	38.5	42.5	4,510	43.8	38.9	48.9	722	37.4	32.7	42.3	640	37.3	32.7	42.2	605
	2006	38.0	36.1	40.0	4,257	39.0	34.3	44.0	597	34.5	29.7	39.7	606	35.6	31.4	40.1	635
	2007	39.2	37.1	41.3	4,134	36.8	31.9	41.9	585	39.3	34.1	44.8	623	45.7	40.5	50.9	575
No Child Car	2000	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Seat/Seat Belt	2001	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	2002	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	2003	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	2004	14.9	13.1	17.0	1,728	9.6	6.0	15.1	230	11.3	7.3	17.1	202	13.0	9.0	18.5	266
	2005	10.4	8.8	12.3	1,552	5.2	2.8	9.4	213	11.2	6.8	17.9	187	7.9	4.4	13.7	211
	2006	12.6	10.7	14.8	1,498	7.7	4.1	13.8	185	14.2	9.0	21.8	170	9.5	6.3	14.1	248
	2007	8.2	6.7	10.0	1,399	5.7	2.8	11.3	173	4.4	2.0	9.4	171	9.2	5.6	14.9	211

^{*} Indicates data are not available for that year

Appendix B: Trend Tables

			-	Tre	nds	s of	Ris	sk F	Fac	tor	S						
			Ву	Pub	lic F	lealt	h Dis	stric	t, 20	00-2	2007						
							F	Public	с Неа	lth D	istrict	t					
			Distr	ict 4			Distr	ict 5			Distr	ict 6		District 7			
		%	95%	CI	n	%	95%	CI	n	%	95%	CI	n	%	95%	6 CI	n
Well Water	2000	17.6	14.5	21.2	693	39.3	35.3	43.4	696	26.9	23.5	30.7	706	38.5	34.6	42.7	688
	2001	17.0	14.1	20.4	666	43.0	38.9	47.3	667	33.0	29.2	37.0	683	35.4	31.6	39.5	680
	2002	16.3	13.5	19.6	689	39.4	35.2	43.8	617	26.3	22.9	30.0	694	34.8	30.8	39.1	686
	2003	15.7	12.8	19.2	672	40.0	36.0	44.2	708	29.0	25.4	32.9	684	40.4	36.2	44.7	706
	2004	18.1	15.2	21.4	734	38.3	34.2	42.6	682	30.6	26.9	34.5	749	38.7	34.7	42.9	709
	2005	16.9	13.7	20.6	717	39.1	35.3	43.1	858	25.3	21.6	29.3	711	34.3	30.3	38.5	715
	2006	18.2	14.6	22.6	737	41.9	37.4	46.6	714	29.7	25.9	33.8	731	34.3	30.2	38.5	718
Unfiltered Tap	2007	13.7	11.1	16.8	705 *	37.9	33.7	42.4 *	698	28.7	24.7	33.1	712	33.6	29.5	38.0	701
Water	2000	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	2001	*		*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	2002	*		*	*	*		*	*	*	_	*				*	*
	2003	*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*		*	*	*		*	*
	2004		25.0				45.4		000		40.0		700	° 04 0	F7 0		
	2005	39.8	35.6	44.2	734	49.2	45.1	53.3	862	51.4	46.8	56.0	709	61.8	57.3 59.0	66.1	723
	2006	38.4	34.2	42.8	739	45.2	40.6	49.9	717	47.4	43.2	51.7	731	63.3		67.4	728 699
No Sex Education	2007	35.6	31.3	40.0 *	708 *	46.5 *	42.0	51.1	693 *	46.5 *	42.0	51.1	713	61.0	56.1 *	65.8 *	*
No Sex Education	2000	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	2001	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	2002	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	2004	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	2005	5.3	3.7	7.5	671	6.6	4.9	8.8	763	5.3	3.8	7.4	639	6.9	5.1	9.3	658
	2006	5.2	3.7	7.4	668	6.7	4.9	9.2	641	7.6	5.7	10.1	652	6.7	4.9	9.1	641
	2007	4.4	3.1	6.3	643	5.4	3.7	7.9	621	7.2	5.4	9.4	644	9.6	6.7	13.6	634
Sex Education in	2000	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
7th Grade +	2001	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	2002	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	2003	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	2004	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	2005	39.1	34.4	44.1	633	35.3	31.2	39.7	709	45.8	40.8	50.9	599	45.9	41.1	50.8	602
	2006	35.9	31.2	40.9	631	38.8	33.7	44.0	593	39.8	35.2	44.6	602	44.6	39.7	49.7	593
	2007	35.4	30.6	40.4	607	38.4	33.5	43.5	584	45.8	40.7	50.9	587	37.8	32.9	43.0	573
No Child Car	2000	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Seat/Seat Belt	2001	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	2002	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	2003	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	2004	6.3	3.6	10.9	277	19.5	14.0	26.4	227	29.3	23.4	35.9	279	23.2	17.7	29.8	247
	2005	4.8	2.6	8.6	232	14.1	9.4	20.6	241	17.4	12.2	24.1	218	19.2	14.0	25.7	250
	2006	6.3	3.4	11.1	226	16.9	10.5	26.0	182	22.5	16.7	29.6	239	20.3	14.6	27.6	248
	2007	2.5	1.0	6.3	205	9.5	5.3	16.7	198	16.0	11.2	22.3	217	14.4	9.9	20.3	224

^{*} Indicates data are not available for that year

Appendix C: Public Health District Sponsored Questions, 2007

Q1. What is the main source of your home water supply?

(n=4,950)

- 1 A city, county, or town water system (66.3%)
- A small water system operated by a home association (4.3%)
- 3 A private well serving your home (27.7%)
- 4 Other source (1.8%)
- 7 DON'T KNOW/NOT SURE
- 9 REFUSED

Q2. Which of the following best describes the water that you drink at home most often?

(n=4,943)

- 1 Unfiltered tap water (44.8%)
- 2 Filtered tap water (39.9%)
- 3 Bottled or vended water (13.5%)
- 4 Water from another source (1.7%)
- 7 DON'T KNOW/NOT SURE
- 9 REFUSED
- Q3. At what grade level do you think children should begin to receive comprehensive sex education in school? Comprehensive sex education includes not only discussions about reproductive systems and associated biological functions, but also sexual behavior; outcomes of sexual behavior including STD/AIDS education and prevention; talking with parents about sex; birth control methods, availability, and usage; and may include discussion on personal sexuality choices.

(n=4,460)

- 1 1st (1.1%)
- 2 2nd (0.9%)
- 3 3rd (3.0%)
- 4 4th (7.4%)
- 5 5th (17.5%)
- 6 6th (26.2%)
- 7 7th (18.9%)
- 8 8th (7.0%)
- 9 9th (5.2%)
- 10 10th (2.7%)
- 11 11th (0.5%)
- 12 12th (2.4%)
- 55 Kindergarten (0.9%)
- 88 NEVER (6.6%)
- 77 DON'T KNOW/NOT SURE
- 99 REFUSED

Appendix C: Public Health District Sponsored Questions, 2007

Q4. [IF ANY CHILDREN IN HOUSEHOLD, RANDOMLY SELECT ONE OF THE CHILDREN] What is the birth month and year of the [SELECTED] child?

(Due to the size of the table, these data are not printed.)

Used to approximate child age at time of interview

Q5. [IF ANY CHILDREN IN HOUSEHOLD AND CHILD AGE < 16 YEARS] How often does the [CHILD AGE]-month/year old child in your household use a [IF CHILD AGE < 5 "car safety seat", IF CHILD AGE > 4 "seatbelt"] when they ride in a car?

(n=1,399)

- 1 Always (91.8%)
- 2 Nearly Always (6.6%)
- 3 Sometimes (1.0 %)
- 4 Seldom (0.2%)
- 5 Never (0.4%)
- 7 DON'T KNOW/NOT SURE
- 9 REFUSED