

ATTACHMENT B

Program Performance Indicators Revised Baseline & Target Setting Form

January 1 – June 30, 2004 Interim Progress Report

Overall HIV						
Indicator A.1: The number of newly diagnosed HIV infections						
2003 (Baseline)		2004 (Target)		2005 (Target)	2008 (5-Year Goal)	
Original	Revised	Original	Revised	New	Original	Revised
24 (2002)	31 (2003)	30		30	20	30
<p>1. How did you develop your new 2005 target measure for this performance indicator? List data sources (e.g., surveillance data, sample surveys, other resources/documents) and describe analytic methods used to develop this measure (e.g., trend analysis, peer consultation).</p> <p>Used HIV/AIDS surveillance data. Trend looks relatively even over 2002-2003. Therefore, it's anticipated that a similar number being reported in 2008.</p>						
<p>Answer questions 2-4 below if you revised your 2003 baseline, 2004 target, and/or 2008 5-year performance goal measures for this performance indicator. Indicate "Not applicable" (NA) as needed.</p>						
<p>2. For each measure listed below, explain your reason(s) for revising the original measure. Describe any challenges you experienced in developing the original measure.</p> <p>Revised 2003 Baseline: Used 2003 data. Original was 2002 data which was what was available at the previous request.</p> <p>Revised 2004 Target:</p> <p>Revised 2008 5-Year Goal: 2002-2003 HIV cases are remaining on an even trend. Therefore, it's anticipated that a similar number being reported in 2008.</p>						

Program Performance Indicators Revised Baseline & Target Setting Form

January 1 - June 30, 2004 Interim Progress Report

Overall HIV						
Indicator A.2: Number of newly diagnosed HIV Infections, 13-24 years of age						
2003 (Baseline)		2004 (Target)		2005 (Target)	2008 (5-Year Goal)	
Original	Revised	Original	Revised	New	Original	Revised
1 (2002)	3 (2003)	5		5	5	
<p>1. How did you develop your new 2005 target measure for this performance indicator? List data sources (e.g., surveillance data, sample surveys, other resources/documents) and describe analytic methods used to develop this measure (e.g., trend analysis, peer consultation).</p> <p>HIV surveillance data. National trends indicate a resurgence of new infections in young MSM. Therefore, we took into account this possible development in determining the 2005 measure.</p>						
<p>Answer questions 2-4 below if you revised your 2003 baseline, 2004 target, and/or 2008 5-year performance goal measures for this performance indicator. Indicate "Not applicable" (NA) as needed.</p>						
<p>2. For each measure listed below, explain your reason(s) for revising the original measure. Describe any challenges you experienced in developing the original measure.</p> <p>Revised 2003 Baseline: Original was based on 2002 data</p> <p>Revised 2004 Target:</p> <p>Revised 2008 5-Year Goal:</p>						
<p>3. How did you address the challenges described above in order to revise your 2003, 2004, and/or 2008 measures?</p> <p>Revised 2003 Baseline:</p> <p>Revised 2004 Target:</p> <p>Revised 2008 5-Year Goal:</p>						

Program Performance Indicators Revised Baseline & Target Setting Form

January 1 - June 30, 2004 Interim Progress Report

Counseling, Testing and Referral Services							
Indicator B.1: Percent of newly identified, confirmed HIV-positive test results among all tests reported by HIV counseling, testing, and referral sites							
	2003 (Baseline)		2004 (Target)		2005 (Target)	2008 (5-Year Goal)	
	Original	Revised	Original	Revised	NEW	Original	Revised
Numerator: The number of newly identified, confirmed HIV positive test results							
Denominator: The total number of tests for clients with a previous negative or unknown HIV status reported by HIV counseling, testing and referral sites							
Percent = (numerator / denominator) x 100	<3%	%	<5%	%	<5%	<5%	%
<p>1. How did you develop your new 2005 target measure for this performance indicator? List data sources (e.g., surveillance data, sample surveys, other resources/documents) and describe analytic methods used to develop this measure (e.g., trend analysis, peer consultation).</p> <p>Based on previous CTS data which has been <5% historically.</p>							
<p>Answer questions 2-4 below if you revised your 2003 baseline, 2004 target, and/or 2008 5-year performance goal measures for this performance indicator. Indicate "Not applicable" (NA) as needed.</p>							
<p>2. For each measure listed below, explain your reason(s) for revising the original measure. Describe any challenges you experienced in developing the original measure.</p> <p>Revised 2003 Baseline:</p> <p>Revised 2004 Target:</p> <p>Revised 2008 5-Year Goal:</p>							

Program Performance Indicators Revised Baseline & Target Setting Form

January 1 - June 30, 2004 Interim Progress Report

Counseling, Testing and Referral Services							
Indicator B.2: Percent of newly identified, confirmed HIV-positive test results returned to clients.							
	2003 (Baseline)		2004 (Target)		2005 (Target)	2008 (5-Year Goal)	
	Original	Revised	Original	Revised	NEW	Original	Revised
Numerator: The number of clients informed of a newly identified, confirmed HIV positive test result among clients visiting HIV counseling, testing, and referral sites.							
Denominator: The number of clients with a newly identified, confirmed HIV positive test result among clients visiting HIV counseling, testing, and referral sites.							
Percent = (numerator / denominator) x 100	75%	%	80%	%	85%	90%	%
<p>1. How did you develop your new 2005 target measure for this performance indicator? List data sources (e.g., surveillance data, sample surveys, other resources/documents) and describe analytic methods used to develop this measure (e.g., trend analysis, peer consultation).</p> <p>The data is based on CTS data. Surveillance data shows that 100% of those testing HIV positive have received their results.</p>							
<p>Answer questions 2-4 below if you revised your 2003 baseline, 2004 target, and/or 2008 5-year performance goal measures for this performance indicator. Indicate "Not applicable" (NA) as needed.</p>							
<p>2. For each measure listed below, explain your reason(s) for revising the original measure. Describe any challenges you experienced in developing the original measure.</p> <p>Revised 2003 Baseline:</p> <p>Revised 2004 Target:</p> <p>Revised 2008 5-Year Goal:</p>							

Program Performance Indicators Revised Baseline & Target Setting Form

January 1 - June 30, 2004 Interim Progress Report

Counseling, Testing and Referral Services							
Indicator B.3: Percent of facilities reporting a prevalence of HIV positive tests equal to or greater than the jurisdiction's target set in B.1.							
	2003 (Baseline)		2004 (Target)		2005 (Target)	2008 (5-Year Goal)	
	Original	Revised	Original	Revised	NEW	Original	Revised
Numerator: The number of HIV counseling, testing, and referral sites reporting at or above the jurisdiction's target percent of newly identified, confirmed HIV positive test results among all HIV tests.							
Denominator: The number of HIV counseling, testing, and referral sites.							
Percent = (numerator / denominator) x 100	29%	%	38%	%	40%	%	%
<p>1. How did you develop your new 2005 target measure for this performance indicator? List data sources (e.g., surveillance data, sample surveys, other resources/documents) and describe analytic methods used to develop this measure (e.g., trend analysis, peer consultation).</p> <p>Based on the number of test sites that had a positive case.</p>							
<p>Answer questions 2-4 below if you revised your 2003 baseline, 2004 target, and/or 2008 5-year performance goal measures for this performance indicator. Indicate "Not applicable" (NA) as needed.</p>							
<p>2. For each measure listed below, explain your reason(s) for revising the original measure. Describe any challenges you experienced in developing the original measure.</p> <p>Revised 2003 Baseline:</p> <p>Revised 2004 Target:</p> <p>Revised 2008 5-Year Goal:</p>							

Program Performance Indicators Revised Baseline & Target Setting Form
 January 1 - June 30, 2004 Interim Progress Report

Partner Counseling and Referral Services							
Indicator C.1: Percent of contacts with unknown or negative serostatus receiving an HIV test after PCRS notification.							
	2003 (Baseline)		2004 (Target)		2005 (Target)	2008 (5-Year Goal)	
	Original	Revised	Original	Revised	NEW	Original	Revised
Numerator: The number of contacts receiving an HIV test within 3 months of being contacted by an HIV partner counseling and referral service provider.							
Denominator: The number of contacts who have unknown or negative serostatus provided by an index case.							
Percent = (numerator / denominator) x 100	25%	%	30%	%	30%	50%	%
<p>1. How did you develop your new 2005 target measure for this performance indicator? List data sources (e.g., surveillance data, sample surveys, other resources/documents) and describe analytic methods used to develop this measure (e.g., trend analysis, peer consultation).</p> <p>Data are from STD investigation documentation performed by district health department personnel under general epidemiology contract with OEFP (Office of Epidemiology and Food Protection) and stored in STD*MIS</p>							
<p>Answer questions 2-4 below if you revised your 2003 baseline, 2004 target, and/or 2008 5-year performance goal measures for this performance indicator. Indicate "Not applicable" (NA) as needed.</p>							
<p>2. For each measure listed below, explain your reason(s) for revising the original measure. Describe any challenges you experienced in developing the original measure.</p> <p>Revised 2003 Baseline:</p> <p>Revised 2004 Target:</p> <p>Revised 2008 5-Year Goal:</p>							

Performance Indicators Revised Baseline & Target Setting Form

January 1 - June 30, 2004 Interim Progress Report

Partner Counseling and Referral Services							
Indicator C.2: Percent of contacts with a newly identified, confirmed HIV positive test among contacts who are tested.							
	2003 (Baseline)		2004 (Target)		2005 (Target)	2008 (5-Year Goal)	
	Original	Revised	Original	Revised	NEW	Original	Revised
Numerator: The number of contacts accepting HIV partner counseling and referral services (PCRS) who receive notification of a newly identified, confirmed HIV positive test result within 3 months of being contacted by an HIV PCRS provider.							
Denominator: All contacts receiving a test within 3 months of being contacted by an HIV PCRS providers							
Percent = (numerator / denominator) x 100	29%	14%	30%	%	30%	25%	30%
<p>1. How did you develop your new 2005 target measure for this performance indicator? List data sources (e.g., surveillance data, sample surveys, other resources/documents) and describe analytic methods used to develop this measure (e.g., trend analysis, peer consultation).</p> <p>Data are from STD investigation documentation performed by district health department personnel under general epidemiology contract with OEPF (Office of Epidemiology and Food Protection) and stored in STD*MIS</p>							
<p>Answer questions 2-4 below if you revised your 2003 baseline, 2004 target, and/or 2008 5-year performance goal measures for this performance indicator. Indicate "Not applicable" (NA) as needed.</p>							
<p>2. For each measure listed below, explain your reason(s) for revising the original measure. Describe any challenges you experienced in developing the original measure.</p> <p>Revised 2003 Baseline: Original was bases on 2002 data</p> <p>Revised 2004 Target:</p> <p>Revised 2008 5-Year Goal: A decrease in the percentage tested is counter to efforts to maintain effective PCRS activities.</p>							

Program Performance Indicators Revised Baseline & Target Setting Form

January 1 - June 30, 2004 Interim Progress Report

Partner Counseling and Referral Services							
Indicator C.3: Percent of contacts with a known, confirmed HIV positive test among all contacts							
	2003 (Baseline)		2004 (Target)		2005 (Target)	2008 (5-Year Goal)	
	Original	Revised	Original	Revised	NEW	Original	Revised
Numerator: The number of contacts who are HIV positive either by self-report or medical record confirmed HIV positive status.							
Denominator: All sex and needle sharing contacts of an HIV infected person							
Percent = (numerator / denominator) x 100	22%	7%	20%	%	20%	20%	%
<p>1. How did you develop your new 2005 target measure for this performance indicator? List data sources (e.g., surveillance data, sample surveys, other resources/documents) and describe analytic methods used to develop this measure (e.g., trend analysis, peer consultation).</p> <p>Data are from STD investigation documentation performed by district health department personnel under general epidemiology contract with OEFP (Office of Epidemiology and Food Protection) and stored in STD*MIS</p>							
<p>Answer questions 2-4 below if you revised your 2003 baseline, 2004 target, and/or 2008 5-year performance goal measures for this performance indicator. Indicate "Not applicable" (NA) as needed.</p>							
<p>2. For each measure listed below, explain your reason(s) for revising the original measure. Describe any challenges you experienced in developing the original measure.</p> <p>Revised 2003 Baseline: Original from 2002 data</p> <p>Revised 2004 Target:</p> <p>Revised 2008 5-Year Goal:</p>							

Program Performance Indicators Revised Baseline & Target Setting Form

January 1 - June 30, 2004 Interim Progress Report

Perinatal Transmission and Prevention							
Indicator D.1 and D.4: Proportion of women who receive an HIV test during pregnan.							
	2003 (Baseline)		2004 (Target)		2005 (Target)	2008 (5-Year Goal)	
	Original	Revised	Original	Revised	NEW	Original	Revised
Numerator: All pregnant women who deliver and have an HIV test during pregnan.							
Denominator: All pregnant women who deliver.							
Proportion = (numerator / denominator)	49%		50%		55%	60%	
<p>1. How did you develop your new 2005 target measure for this performance indicator? List data sources (e.g., surveillance data, sample surveys, other resources/documents) and describe analytic methods used to develop this measure (e.g., trend analysis, peer consultation).</p> <p>This data comes from the State Vital Statistics Departments and based on the Pregnancy Risk Assessment Tracking Survey (PRATS).</p>							
<p>Answer questions 2-4 below if you revised your 2003 baseline, 2004 target, and/or 2008 5-year performance goal measures for this performance indicator. Indicate "Not applicable" (NA) as needed.</p>							
<p>2. For each measure listed below, explain your reason(s) for revising the original measure. Describe any challenges you experienced in developing the original measure.</p> <p>Revised 2003 Baseline:</p> <p>Revised 2004 Target:</p> <p>Revised 2008 5-Year Goal:</p>							

Program Performance Indicators Revised Baseline & Target Setting Form

January 1 - June 30, 2004 Interim Progress Report

Perinatal Transmission Prevention							
Indicator D.2: Proportion of HIV infected pregnant women who receive appropriate interventions to prevent perinatal transmission.							
	2003 (Baseline)		2004 (Target)		2005 (Target)	2008 (5-Year Goal)	
	Original	Revised	Original	Revised	NEW	Original	Revised
Numerator: The number of HIV infected women who received appropriate interventions for preventions of perinatal transmission.							
Denominator: The number of HIV infected pregnant women who deliver a live born infant.							
Proportion = (numerator / denominator)	n/a		n/a		n/a	n/a	
<p>1. How did you develop your new 2005 target measure for this performance indicator? List data sources (e.g., surveillance data, sample surveys, other resources/documents) and describe analytic methods used to develop this measure (e.g., trend analysis, peer consultation).</p> <p>We do not have data available for this indicator.</p>							
<p>Answer questions 2-4 below if you revised your 2003 baseline, 2004 target, and/or 2008 5-year performance goal measures for this performance indicator. Indicate "Not applicable" (NA) as needed.</p>							
<p>2. For each measure listed below, explain your reason(s) for revising the original measure. Describe any challenges you experienced in developing the original measure.</p> <p>Revised 2003 Baseline:</p> <p>Revised 2004 Target:</p> <p>Revised 2008 5-Year Goal:</p>							

Program Performance Indicators Revised Baseline & Target Setting Form

January 1 - June 30, 2004 Interim Progress Report

Perinatal Transmission Prevention							
Indicator D.3: Proportion of HIV infected pregnant women whose infants are perinatally infected.							
	2003 (Baseline)		2004 (Target)		2005 (Target)	2008 (5-Year Goal)	
	Original	Revised	Original	Revised	NEW	Original	Revised
Numerator: The number of HIV infected pregnant women whose infants are perinatally infected.							
Denominator: The number of HIV infected pregnant women who deliver a live born infant.							
Proportion = (numerator / denominator)	n/a		n/a		n/a	n/a	
<p>1. How did you develop your new 2005 target measure for this performance indicator? List data sources (e.g., surveillance data, sample surveys, other resources/documents) and describe analytic methods used to develop this measure (e.g., trend analysis, peer consultation).</p> <p>We do not have data available for this indicator.</p>							
<p>Answer questions 2-4 below if you revised your 2003 baseline, 2004 target, and/or 2008 5-year performance goal measures for this performance indicator. Indicate "Not applicable" (NA) as needed.</p>							
<p>2. For each measure listed below, explain your reason(s) for revising the original measure. Describe any challenges you experienced in developing the original measure.</p> <p>Revised 2003 Baseline:</p> <p>Revised 2004 Target:</p> <p>Revised 2008 5-Year Goal:</p>							

Program Performance Indicators Revised Baseline & Target Setting Form

January 1 - June 30, 2004 Interim Progress Report

Community Planning							
Indicator E.1: Proportion of populations most at risk (up to 10), as documented in the epidemiologic profile and/or the priority populations in the Comprehensive Plan, that have at least one CPG member that reflects the perspective of each population.							
	2003 (Baseline)		2004 (Target)		2005 (Target)	2008 (5-Year Goal)	
	Original	Revised	Original	Revised	NEW	Original	Revised
Numerator: The number of populations most at risk (up to 10), as documented in the epidemiologic profile and/or the priority populations in the Comprehensive Plan, that have at least one CPG member that reflects the perspective of each population.							
Denominator: The number of populations most at risk (up to 10), as documented in the epidemiologic profile and/or the priority populations in the Comprehensive Plan.							
Proportion = (numerator / (denominator))	3/4		4/4		4/5	5/5	
<p>1. How did you develop your new 2005 target measure for this performance indicator? List data sources (e.g., surveillance data, sample surveys, other resources/documents) and describe analytic methods used to develop this measure (e.g., trend analysis, peer consultation).</p> <p>Data Sources: epi profile, 2004-2006 Comprehensive Plan, membership survey. Analytical Methods: Comparison of priority population to membership profile.</p>							
Answer questions 2-4 below if you revised your 2003 baseline, 2004 target, and/or 2008 5-year performance goal measures for this performance indicator. Indicate "Not applicable" (NA) as needed.							

Program Performance Indicators Revised Baseline & Target Setting Form

January 1 - June 30, 2004 Interim Progress Report

Community Planning							
Indicator E.2: Proportion of key attributes of an HIV prevention planning process that CPG membership agreed have occurred.							
	2003 (Baseline)		2004 (Target)		2005 (Target)	2008 (5-Year Goal)	
	Original	Revised	Original	Revised	NEW	Original	Revised
Numerator: The number of key attributes of which CPG members agreed occurred.							
Denominator: The total number of valid responses ("agree" and "disagree").							
Proportion = (numerator / denominator)	90%		95%		95%	95%	
<p>1. How did you develop your new 2005 target measure for this performance indicator? List data sources (e.g., surveillance data, sample surveys, other resources/documents) and describe analytic methods used to develop this measure (e.g., trend analysis, peer consultation).</p> <p>Data Source: Community Planning, Membership Survey Response. Analytical Methods: Trend analysis, Peer Consultation</p>							
<p>Answer questions 2-4 below if you revised your 2003 baseline, 2004 target, and/or 2008 5-year performance goal measures for this performance indicator. Indicate "Not applicable" (NA) as needed.</p>							
<p>2. For each measure listed below, explain your reason(s) for revising the original measure. Describe any challenges you experienced in developing the original measure.</p> <p>Revised 2003 Baseline:</p> <p>Revised 2004 Target:</p> <p>Revised 2008 5-Year Goal:</p>							

Program Performance Indicators Revised Baseline & Target Setting Form

January 1 - June 30, 2004 Interim Progress Report

Community Planning							
Indicator E.3: Percent of prevention interventions/other supporting activities in the health department's CDC funding application specified as a priority in the comprehensive HIV prevention plan.							
	2003 (Baseline)		2004 (Target)		2005 (Target)	2008 (5-Year Goal)	
	Original	Revised	Original	Revised	NEW	Original	Revised
Numerator: The number of prevention interventions/ other supporting activities in the health department's CDC funding application specified as a priority in the comprehensive HIV prevention plan.							
Denominator: The number of all prevention interventions / other supporting activities identified in the health department's CDC funding application.							
Percent = (numerator / (denominator) x 100	88%	%	90%	%	90%	90%	%
<p>1. How did you develop your new 2005 target measure for this performance indicator? List data sources (e.g., surveillance data, sample surveys, other resources/documents) and describe analytic methods used to develop this measure (e.g., trend analysis, peer consultation).</p> <p>Data Sources: 2005 application, 2004-2006 Comprehensive Plan.</p> <p>Analytical Methods: Historical Data, Peer Consultation.</p>							
<p>Answer questions 2-4 below if you revised your 2003 baseline, 2004 target, and/or 2008 5-year performance goal measures for this performance indicator. Indicate "Not applicable" (NA) as needed.</p>							

Program Performance Indicators Revised Baseline & Target Setting Form

January 1 - June 30, 2004 Interim Progress Report

Community Planning							
Indicator E.4: Percent of health department-funded prevention interventions/other supporting activities that correspond to priorities specified in the comprehensive HIV prevention plan.							
	2003 (Baseline)		2004 (Target)		2005 (Target)	2008 (5-Year Goal)	
	Original	Revised	Original	Revised	NEW	Original	Revised
Numerator: The number of funded prevention interventions / other supporting activities that correspond to priorities specified in the most current comprehensive HIV prevention plan.							
Denominator: The number of all health department-funded prevention interventions/ other supporting activities.							
Percent = (numerator / (denominator) x 100	88%	%	90%	%	90%	90%	%
<p>1. How did you develop your new 2005 target measure for this performance indicator? List data sources (e.g., surveillance data, sample surveys, other resources/documents) and describe analytic methods used to develop this measure (e.g., trend analysis, peer consultation).</p> <p>Data Sources: 2005 funding application, 2004-2006 Comprehensive Plan.</p> <p>Analytical Methods: Historical data, peer consultation.</p>							
<p>Answer questions 2-4 below if you revised your 2003 baseline, 2004 target, and/or 2008 5-year performance goal measures for this performance indicator. Indicate "Not applicable" (NA) as needed.</p>							
<p>2. For each measure listed below, explain your reason(s) for revising the original measure. Describe any challenges you experienced in developing the original measure.</p> <p>Revised 2003 Baseline:</p> <p>Revised 2004 Target:</p> <p>Revised 2008 5-Year Goal:</p>							

Program Performance Indicators Revised Baseline & Target Setting Form

January 1 - June 30, 2004 Interim Progress Report

Evaluation							
Indicator F.1: Proportion of providers reporting representative process monitoring data to the health department in compliance with CDC's Program Announcement							
	2003 (Baseline)		2004 (Target)		2005 (Target)	2008 (5-Year Goal)	
	Original	Revised	Original	Revised	NEW	Original	Revised
Numerator: The number of providers reporting representative process monitoring data to the health department in compliance with CDC's Program Announcement.							
Denominator: Total number of health department funded providers that are implementing HIV prevention interventions.							
Proportion = (numerator / denominator)	11/18		14/17		12/13	12/13	
<p>1. How did you develop your new 2005 target measure for this performance indicator? List data sources (e.g., surveillance data, sample surveys, other resources/documents) and describe analytic methods used to develop this measure (e.g., trend analysis, peer consultation).</p> <p>Process monitoring data. Providers are required to submit process monitoring data for reimbursement.</p>							
<p>Answer questions 2-4 below if you revised your 2003 baseline, 2004 target, and/or 2008 5-year performance goal measures for this performance indicator. Indicate "Not applicable" (NA) as needed.</p>							
<p>2. For each measure listed below, explain your reason(s) for revising the original measure. Describe any challenges you experienced in developing the original measure.</p> <p>Revised 2003 Baseline:</p> <p>Revised 2004 Target:</p> <p>Revised 2008 5-Year Goal:</p>							

Program Performance Indicators Revised Baseline & Target Setting Form

January 1 - June 30, 2004 Interim Progress Report

Capacity Building							
Indicator G.1: Proportion of providers who have received at least one health department-supported capacity building assistance, specifically in the form of trainings/workshops in the design, implementation, and evaluation of science-based HIV prevention interventions.							
	2003 (Baseline)		2004 (Target)		2005 (Target)	2008 (5-Year Goal)	
	Original	Revised	Original	Revised	NEW	Original	Revised
Numerator: The number of providers/agencies that received at least one health department-supported capacity building assistance, specifically in the form of trainings/workshops in the design, implementation, and evaluation of science-based HIV prevention interventions.							
Denominator: The total number of health department-funded providers/agencies that are implementing HIV prevention interventions.							
Proportion = (numerator / (denominator))	15/17		15/17		12/13/	12/13	
<p>1. How did you develop your new 2005 target measure for this performance indicator? List data sources (e.g., surveillance data, sample surveys, other resources/documents) and describe analytic methods used to develop this measure (e.g., trend analysis, peer consultation).</p> <p>Data Sources: Lisa of Contractors, list of participants attending department supported capacity building training.</p> <p>Analytical Methods: Educated estimate</p>							
<p>Answer questions 2-4 below if you revised your 2003 baseline, 2004 target, and/or 2008 5-year performance goal measures for this performance indicator. Indicate "Not applicable" (NA) as needed.</p>							

Program Performance Indicators Revised Baseline & Target Setting Form

January 1 - June 30, 2004 Interim Progress Report

Health Education/Risk Reduction							
Indicator H.1: Proportion of persons that completed the intended number of sessions for each of the following interventions: individual level interventions (ILI), group level interventions (GLI), and prevention case management (PCM).							
	2003 (Baseline)		2004 (Target)		2005 (Target)	2008 (5-Year Goal)	
	Original	Revised	Original	Revised	New	Original	Revised
Individual Level Interventions (ILI):							
Numerator: Number of persons who completed the intended number of sessions for ILI.							
Denominator: The number of persons who were enrolled in ILI							
Proportion = (numerator / denominator)	54%		75%		75%	90%	
Group Level Interventions (GLI):							
Numerator: Number of persons who completed the intended number of sessions for GLI							
Denominator: The number of persons who were enrolled in GLI							
Proportion = (numerator / denominator)	48%		75%		75%	90%	
Prevention Case Management (PCM):							
Numerator: Number of persons who completed the intended number of sessions for PCM							
Denominator: The number of persons who were enrolled in PCM							
Proportion = (numerator / denominator)	n/a		50%		50%	75%	

1. How did you develop your new 2005 target measure for this performance indicator? List data sources (e.g., surveillance data, sample surveys, other resources/documents) and describe analytic methods used to develop this measure (e.g., trend analysis, peer consultation).

Answer questions 2-4 below if you revised your 2003 baseline, 2004 target, and/or 2008 5-year performance goal measures for this performance indicator. Indicate "Not applicable" (NA) as needed.

2. For each measure listed below, explain your reason(s) for revising the original measure. Describe any challenges you experienced in developing the original measure.

Revised 2003 Baseline:

Revised 2004 Target:

Revised 2008 5-Year Goal:

3. How did you address the challenges described above in order to revise your 2003, 2004, and/or 2008 measures?

Revised 2003 Baseline:

Revised 2004 Target:

Revised 2008 5-Year Goal:

4. Explain how you developed each revised measure. List data sources (e.g., surveillance data, sample surveys, other resources/documents) and describe analytic methods used to develop the revised measure (e.g., trend analysis, peer consultation).

Revised 2003 Baseline:

Revised 2004 Target:

Revised 2008 5-Year Goal:

Program Performance Indicators Revised Baseline & Target Setting Form

January 1 - June 30, 2004 Interim Progress Report

Health Education/Risk Reduction							
Program Performance Indicator H.2: Proportion of the intended number of the target populations to be reached with any of the following specific interventions: ILI, GLI, or PCM, who were actually reached.							
	2003 (Baseline)		2004 (Target)		2005 (Target)	2008 (5-Year Goal)	
	Original	Revised	Original	Revised	New	Original	Revised
Numerator: Sum of the number of the target populations reached through any of the following specific interventions: ILI, GLI, or PCM.							
Denominator: Sum of the number of target populations that were intended to be reached through the following interventions: ILI, GLI, or PCM.							
Proportion = (numerator / (denominator))	46%		67%		70%	82%	
<p>1. How did you develop your new 2005 target measure for this performance indicator? List data sources (e.g., surveillance data, sample surveys, other resources/documents) and describe analytic methods used to develop this measure (e.g., trend analysis, peer consultation).</p> <p>Based on process data with expectations of data to remain similar to 2004. With limited historical data, it's difficult to make projections.</p>							
<p>Answer questions 2-4 below if you revised your 2003 baseline, 2004 target, and/or 2008 5-year performance goal measures for this performance indicator. Indicate "Not applicable" (NA) as needed.</p>							
<p>2. For each measure listed below, explain your reason(s) for revising the original measure. Describe any challenges you experienced in developing the original measure.</p> <p>Revised 2003 Baseline:</p> <p>Revised 2004 Target:</p> <p>Revised 2008 5-Year Goal:</p>							

3. How did you address the challenges described above in order to revise your 2003, 2004, and/or 2008 measures?

Revised 2003 Baseline:

Revised 2004 Target:

Revised 2008 5-Year Goal:

4. Explain how you developed each revised measure. List data sources (e.g., surveillance data, sample surveys, other resources/documents) and describe analytic methods used to develop the revised measure (e.g., trend analysis, peer consultation).

Revised 2003 Baseline:

Revised 2004 Target:

Revised 2008 5-Year Goal:

Program Performance Indicators Revised Baseline & Target Setting Form

January 1 - June 30, 2004 Interim Progress Report

Health Education/Risk Reduction							
Program Performance Indicator H.3: The mean number of outreach contacts required to get one person to access any of the following services: Counseling & Testing, Sexually Transmitted Disease Screening & Testing, ILI, GLI or PCM.							
	2003 (Baseline)		2004 (Target)		2005 (Target)	2008 (5-Year Goal)	
	Original	Revised	Original	Revised	New	Original	Revised
Numerator: The number of outreach contacts who were referred to any of the following services: CT, STD screening and testing, ILI, GLI or PCM							
Denominator: Number of individuals who accessed any of the following services: CT, STD screening and testing, ILI, GLI or PCM							
Mean = (numerator / denominator)	20	n/a	20	n/a	n/a	20	n/a
<p>1. How did you develop your new 2005 target measure for this performance indicator? List data sources (e.g., surveillance data, sample surveys, other resources/documents) and describe analytic methods used to develop this measure (e.g., trend analysis, peer consultation).</p> <p>We cannot accurately measure this indicator given that most outreach conducted gives an estimate of clients reached and does not indicate that client was referred to any services. Our jurisdictions keep track of number of condoms, safer sex kits, and informational brochures distributed.</p>							
<p>Answer questions 2-4 below if you revised your 2003 baseline, 2004 target, and/or 2008 5-year performance goal measures for this performance indicator. Indicate "Not applicable" (NA) as needed.</p>							

Program Performance Indicators Revised Baseline & Target Setting Form

January 1 - June 30, 2004 Interim Progress Report

Prevention for Infected Persons							
Program Performance Indicator I.1: Proportion of HIV infected persons that complete the intended number of sessions for prevention case management (PCM)							
	2003 (Baseline)		2004 (Target)		2005 (Target)	2008 (5-Year Goal)	
	Original	Revised	Original	Revised	New	Original	Revised
Numerator: The number of HIV infected persons that completed the intended number of sessions for PCM.							
Denominator: The number of HIV infected persons enrolled in PCM.							
Proportion = (numerator / (denominator))	n/a		65%		50%	80%	
<p>1. How did you develop your new 2005 target measure for this performance indicator? List data sources (e.g., surveillance data, sample surveys, other resources/documents) and describe analytic methods used to develop this measure (e.g., trend analysis, peer consultation).</p>							
<p>Answer questions 2-4 below if you revised your 2003 baseline, 2004 target, and/or 2008 5-year performance goal measures for this performance indicator. Indicate "Not applicable" (NA) as needed.</p>							
<p>2. For each measure listed below, explain your reason(s) for revising the original measure. Describe any challenges you experienced in developing the original measure.</p> <p>Revised 2003 Baseline:</p> <p>Revised 2004 Target:</p> <p>Revised 2008 5-Year Goal:</p>							

Program Performance Indicators Revised Baseline & Target Setting Form

January 1 - June 30, 2004 Interim Progress Report

Prevention for Infected Persons							
Program Performance Indicator I.2: Percent of HIV infected persons who, after a specified period of participation in prevention case management, report a reduction in sexual or drug using risk behaviors or maintain protective behaviors with seronegative partners or with partners of unknown status.							
	2003 (Baseline)		2004 (Target)		2005 (Target)	2008 (5-Year Goal)	
	Original	Revised	Original	Revised	New	Original	Revised
Numerator: The number of HIV infected persons in PCM reporting a reduction in sexual or drug using risk behaviors or maintain protective behaviors with seronegative partners or with partners of unknown status.							
Denominator: The number of HIV infected persons enrolled in PCM.							
Proportion = (numerator / denominator) x 100	50%	n/a	55%		50%	75%	
<p>1. How did you develop your new 2005 target measure for this performance indicator? List data sources (e.g., surveillance data, sample surveys, other resources/documents) and describe analytic methods used to develop this measure (e.g., trend analysis, peer consultation).</p>							
<p>Answer questions 2-4 below if you revised your 2003 baseline, 2004 target, and/or 2008 5-year performance goal measures for this performance indicator. Indicate "Not applicable" (NA) as needed.</p>							
<p>2. For each measure listed below, explain your reason(s) for revising the original measure. Describe any challenges you experienced in developing the original measure.</p> <p>Revised 2003 Baseline:</p> <p>Revised 2004 Target:</p> <p>Revised 2008 5-Year Goal:</p>							